SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Julie, can you read the hearing notice?

MS. GANSLE: Yes.

2.4

This public hearing is being continued by

Resolution of the Town Board number 178 for 2019 dated

March 21, 2019 to continue to hear all persons in

relation to the proposed updates and amendments to the

Comprehensive Plan for the Town of Colonie. The Town

Board will now hear all persons interested in this

proposal.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I will ask Joe LaCivita, the Planning and Economic Development Director — — he is going to give you a brief overview. A good portion of the Comprehensive Plan — the revisions were made two weeks ago and you have been able to see them. They have been on the website and you can take a look there — on the Town's website. So, what I was going to do this evening is just kind of point out the changes that are in the draft which now are compiled of the last two weeks and the last revisions are in here as well as the new set of revisions, and there will be one more portion that we are hoping that we will have by April 8 — at that Board meeting, which will complete the final draft.

Did you get copies of these?

MS. MCKANE: We do, but as a point of correction I was just on the website and this is not posted, as near as I can find it.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: This is not on there -

MS. MCKANE: The version that is on there is the one from March 21.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That is correct. We are not voting on this tonight because this is only the second portion. This is hot off the press, so this will go on the website and the Town Board will have at least another two weeks to take a look at this.

MS. MCCAIN: I was just responding to the statement that you made that there were updates and corrections showing up -

MR. MAGGUILLI: Ma'am, if you would please, for the record if you could just state your name.

MS. MCKANE: My name is Aimee McKane.

As the Supervisor knows, we have had a lot of comments. I had a lot of comments on the 21st of March. At that time, the plan had a lot of issues. This was brought up by Joe and also by Chuck Voss. There was some intent to correct. We have been waiting for that so that we could comment on that copy. There has been no updates that I am aware of on the website since the March 21st public hearing and as a result there has been nothing to

comment on.

We are handed this fairly substantial revision - I mean, it is good that it is substantially revised, but it has been handed to us tonight which I'm wondering how people are supposed to read it and comment on it when they just got it.

MR. LACIVITA: I think that's one of the reasons why we are keeping the public hearing open so you have the opportunity to review the changes that were made from the last meeting, look at the reformatting that we did in the commentary from what we heard from the committee and from what you heard of the public hearing. Then we will have comments on our April 18th meeting.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I understand what you are saying. If I wasn't clear, the presentation that was given two weeks ago - the connection of the goals from 2005 to 2019 and you guys had asked for the progress. That is what I meant was online. That has been online for eight days. There were people at the meeting two weeks ago. I have only received one comment and that was from Gloria on that presentation. We haven't received anything new, as far as I know of.

That portion that you're talking about is in here.

It is in here along with what you're going to see

tonight, which is the next set of revisions. It will basically point you to what those revisions are.

We are not voting on this tonight because we're doing this in probably three meetings. So, this will be the second. The Town Board will have at least another two weeks to just review the new portions of this. A good portion of this is what they saw two weeks ago and you saw two weeks ago. As we keep advancing, we are adding it -

MS. MCKANE: So, will this be posted tomorrow?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I can tell you this: I'm going to be at The Crossings all day. I can't guarantee that someone will be available to post this tomorrow. Monday we can see if our staff can post it on the website.

There will be at least a couple weeks. You will have it in your hands - you have it tonight so you can take that home, so you can have it for a couple of weeks.

The comments that we received from your group — that was of the entire draft, so we have all those comments and we have taken some of those into consideration as well as other public members as well as the staff and the administration. So, all of that is being put into the revisions where it can be.

Obviously everybody wants something different.

Everybody does not have the same interests. Obviously,

we can't do an update of a plan and have everything that everybody wants in it. Some things are internal operations and they really don't need to go into the plan because you are working on them internally. There are a lot of different things that are going on with this plan. What you saw two weeks ago when you were here was pretty comprehensive. The one thing you may want to look at are the objectives and goals — the objectives lead to the achievement of the goals. They are quite extensive.

Then, what we are doing as well is one of the tasks of the Planning Department is going to be - - we really had to research a lot of information to be able to update this. So, it makes sense to have the categorization of everything - all of the goals that are in here and then keep a progress spreadsheet on that and then periodically we can update people. Then, when this needs to be updated again, that current updated information will be more readily available. That's one thing that you will see in here somewhere.

- MS. WHALEN: Madam Supervisor -
- MR. MAGGUILLI: And if I could -
 - MS. WHALEN: Excuse me, I am speaking.
- MR. MAGGUILLI: I am sorry.

MS. WHALEN: I think this is an important document

1 and the weekend is coming up. People that work might 2 want to digest it over the weekend. So, I really think that we should make our best effort to have someone in 3 4 our IT department download this on to the website - or 5 upload it tomorrow so that the general public can take 6 the weekend to look at it because really if you work 7 during the week, you might only want to really get into 8 the nitty-gritty of this on the weekend and there are 9 only two weekends between now and the next meeting. So, 10 I don't really understand why we can't have this 11 uploaded by our IT department tomorrow. I don't 12 understand that.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Well, we can try to arrange that. Our IT Director will be at The Crossings with the students, as well. We will try our best, how's that? We will see if that's possible. As I said, the department heads are going to be at The Crossings for the special event for the kids.

If we can start with Mr. LaCivita?

MR. MAGGUILLI: As a procedural matter, to make this run a little smoother, if people when they want to speak - if they could please come up and use the microphone because we are taping this for television and quite often it's very difficult to hear someone - what they are saying when they are sitting down. So,

when it comes time for you to make your public comment, if you would please come up to the microphone and identify yourself for our stenographer.

This is a public hearing on the Comprehensive Plan. If there is any other matter that you would like to discuss other than the Comprehensive Plan update, we are going to have a public comment after this public hearing and we ask that you wait to make those remarks at that time. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: So there's no confusion, we will announce when the public hearing is closed so that you know the difference. We have public comment every meeting so people get confused with that. This is a public hearing and after Joe is done this will be on the Comprehensive Plan. For any new comments — the comments that you have already done before — we have those. We have them on record and we have some of them in writing, as well.

We will begin now, Joe.

MR. LACIVITA: Thank you for the introduction.

I think one of the significant things you're going to see with the document that we have out there before you this evening and in front of the Board - one of the most significant changes is the reformatting of the document. We took it from a landscape document format

and put it into a more consistent format that goes with the 2005 Comprehensive Plan. The reason we did that is kind of so that you could follow from one plan to the other and find the same level of content in the next document year after year.

I'm just going to go page by page to walk you through, if there's any question.

The introduction was revised as well. We made some small grammatical changes there and we added a little bit of content. We won't have to go through it because you can read it and make commentary at a later date.

One of the significant changes as well is we removed the community profile and we will be replacing them into the appendices. Those are being drafted and reformatted as well by Barton and Loguidice and that will be our third and final set of revisions before we take that to the closing of the public hearing.

One of the other things that was changed in this document, as you see before you, is we looked at the studies that were performed by the Town of Colonie and we removed the Route 32 Corridor Study. That was really in partnership with the City of Watervliet. That's not a Town of Colonie sponsored document, so we removed that document although it does live within the Planning Department's office. We look at that from time to time

as projects come in that area.

We added supplemental information on the content.

As I said, the community profile - Barton and Loguidice is updating.

One of the other significant changes is we amended the 2005 and 2019 table and you will see it on pages 22 through 24. It reflects the goals and we tried to make that a unified comparison between what was said in 2005 and what we are saying in 2019. I think the documentation flows much better. We get to see what was before us and what was going to be in front of us in the future. I think it's much friendlier for the user.

Additionally, you're going to see the 2019 goals and we categorize them the same way that we did in 2005 using the same milestone periods. Again, trying to be consistent so there's no confusion as to where we were and where we are going.

We replaced priorities with time frames. In the 2019 time frames, they begin on page 25 and they go through page 29. Those are the goals and objectives as to how to get there.

One of the things you will also see here is we have a couple of new bullets. Of course, continuous improvement is something that our department tries to look for. So, we're going to look for better

communication between the Planning Department, the Planning Board and the public.

Also in addition we will be updating the Route 2 Corridor Study to reflect changes in that area, which there has been significant development over time. We want to make sure what that study guided us to do - that it was either performed or needs to be changed.

Then, finally, the update to the 2019 Comprehensive Plan - as changes grow, as Paula mentioned, we, in the Planning Department will be checking the milestones and reporting quarterly just to see where we are. That's really a short and concise kind of change as to what you see before you tonight.

If the Members of the Board have any questions, I can certainly answer them during the comment period.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: As I said, this is hot off the press and we will be looking at this for the next couple of weeks. A good majority of it was presented two weeks ago and it has been integrated into this packet, as well. So, when you go to this packet in your spare time you will see what was presented two weeks ago is in there and any new revisions in there as well for the plan.

There is only one more piece of that particular packet that you will see hopefully in a couple of weeks.

That is the updated implementation table. Then, as Joe said, the community profile which is important information — and it needs to be updated so again it is current as possible and the consultant is working on that as we speak. That will be in the appendix and if anyone is interested in that type of material, that will be there along with some other things. So, what you have in front of you is basically — other than the appendix — a complete packet minus the implementation table. You have everything in front of you there. Of course, when the final product is done and improved, it will be in a formal presentation. We wanted to be able to present this package to you so that you can certainly have time to look at it and if you have any questions, that's what we are here for.

Does the public has any comment?

MS. WHALEN: I have a question, quickly.

Joe, you also added in some of the comments that we even heard as recently as the last meeting where there was a public hearing, is that correct?

MR. LACIVITA: We took into consideration several letters that we received. I think it was Shaker Heritage, we had the cemetery and there were four or five others that we received.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I think she is referring to the

public comments.

MR. LACIVITA: Oh yes, those as well. The Save group had a number of comments in their pages as well as the people who spoke. We put it into a chart and you can see how we answer those and where they were put into the process and how we will track them in the future.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Anybody else from the Board? (There was no response.)

Anybody from the audience?

MS. PERRY POTTS: Good evening. My name is Suzanne Perry Potts. My husband and I own two properties in the west end of Town on Kings Road and Cordell and Morris.

I would have to wholeheartedly agree that the Town of Colonie is a terrific place to live, work and play; that it is.

I have a few comments and they namely concern the industrial zone area in the western end of Town on Cordell, Kings, Morris and Curry Road.

I did have one comment about the community meetings. The sign-in sheets for three of those six meetings are missing and it would've been nice to know who and how many had attended. At all six meetings it was terrific that people had commented that they liked treed green space and were concerned about clearcutting.

1 Only one meeting, which was a South Colonie one, did 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

someone comment that they liked the Pine Bush Preserve.

In the Comp Plan there is map entitled existing open space and opportunities. On it in a very large area on the western end of Town - the area is labeled the Pine Bush vision. In my opinion, the Pine Bush vision is a polar opposite of what the majority of people have stated that they like in Town.

The Pine Bush Commission negatively affects taxes, education, employment and trees. Our taxes are higher because of the Pine Bush who maintains and creates more Pine Bush and reduces our tax base. That tax money could be better spent investing and educating our children so they can be productive adults and not be dependent on government assistance.

In maintaining and creating the Pine Bush, they have clear-cut many acres of walkable shaded woods and planted scrub brush that you cannot walk through. I am all for keeping the public Pine Bush lands that there are. I object to their using tax money to maintain and create more. It seems like the land is purchased from donations from the Nature Conservancy, but the Nature Conservancy resells that land to the taxpayers of New York State at a higher price to make sure they recoup all of their expenses.

I also saw a comment in there stating that the traffic was increasing on Kings Road. I have been there for over 30 years and I disagree. Right now you can set up a camera on my front steps and you can record the traffic on Kings Road and thanks to the Pine Bush for clear-cutting many acres of shaded trees, you can also count the traffic on Curry Road now from my steps.

There's also a map called existing land use map and in my opinion there was one in the last Comp Plan - in my opinion they still have not correctly identified the uses in that area as an industrial use. Instead, they are identifying them as commercial or residential. In the plan vision and goals it states they would like to maintain an established commercial industrial areas where infrastructure exists. Most of that west end has the necessary infrastructure.

So, in conclusion, I hope that the adoption of this updated Comp Plan does not result in a repeating of history so we don't have another rezoning battle with the west end of Town. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you Suzanne. The maps are being - that's part of the profile that is being updated as we speak and they will be hopefully in the next meeting. We will announce that.

MS. PERRY POTTS: Okay, thank you.

MS. O'JAY: My name is Pat O'Jay. I'm just now getting interested in what the Town is doing and trying to educate myself further on the Town.

My one concern about this survey done by Siena where there was only 2,000 people - registered voters that had gotten the survey. I don't feel that it's really representative of the whole Town. How do you find out where the information is where we can look at that survey and see how it was done?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I understand your question. The Siena survey was an independent study. We wanted it to be independent of the Town for various reasons. What they did was they did a sample of the Town - throughout the whole Town randomly. Typically, that's how those surveys are done. The response was 30%. You would think that was low, but in actuality typically according to Dr. Levy from Siena College, they typically get 5% or 10% so they are thrilled with 30%. Because it is randomly sampled throughout the Town, they come up with a number that they need to have the results that they feel would be a valid representation of the Town. So, the results that came from it were very good. 30% is considered very good.

MS. O'JAY: That's 30% of 2,000.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: It sounds like 30% is very low,

1	but when Dr. Levy - that's his main profession. They do
2	surveys all over and getting 30% back is a very high
3	percentage. Typically, as I said, they get 5% or 10%
4	and when you look at the Town and you look at 83,000
5	people, that's why they're sampling randomly to get all
6	different age groups and parts of the Town. When you
7	put that altogether you kind have to have that when
8	you're going through and doing a survey at some point
9	in time and how the results are calculated and all of
10	that.
11	MS. O'JAY: Is there any way to get that
12	information?
13	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Yes. You can get that
14	information, Joe?
15	MR. LACIVITA: It's actually on our Planning
16	website, coloniepedd.org.
17	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Can you give her that website?
18	MR. LACIVITA: Yes; Colonie P-E-D-D.org. You can
19	call my office to and I can direct you to it.
20	MS. O'JAY: My other concern is - and just
21	glancing at the next page about designing local roads
22	to slow speeds -
23	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Can you just tell me what page
24	you're on?
25	MS. O'JAY: Page 8. It's really a pet peeve of

mine and plus a very big concern of mine because Fox,
Shepherd and Homestead are used as cut through's to get
through Ferris and Consaul Road and the speeding is
tremendous on these roads. I have seen a car hit a
rabbit because he was going so fast and he didn't
bother to slow down. There are plenty of people walking
their dogs constantly on these roads and kids are
around and the speeding just keeps on going up. What
you have planned - - it says designing local roads to
slow speeds. What does that mean?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That is what is from the survey — — the top three supported initiatives included in the Comprehensive Plan, according to the survey. When you talk about slowing speeds — — there are different goals in here that talk about transportation throughout the Town. You can only do that in certain circumstances. In the Town, I believe — Chief, correct me if I am wrong, but the speed limit is 30 miles an hour and in the Village they can have 25 miles per hour, right?

MR. TEALE: Correct.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We have had some occasions where we have reduced after a thorough study of the areas from 40 miles per hour to 30 miles per hour which still stays within the parameters. One of those areas — it was the adjoining municipality where it was 30 and

19 1 then there was a long stretch of 40 and then when it 2 got down to the intersection that they connected to, it 3 went back to 30. So, it made sense because people 4 speeded up in that area. 5 MS. O'JAY: As long as I have known, the speed 6 limit has been 30, but it has been outrageous. 7 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Where you are, it is 30. You asked what we could do about that. It is enforcement to 8 9 the best of the Police Department's ability. We have 10 different things that they do. They do radar and they 11 post the speeds to give people an idea of how fast they 12 are going. 13 MS. O'JAY: But I've never seen one on our road. Maybe Fox because the traffic is heavier there but my 14 15 street and the street after that - - I have lived there 16 all my life and I have never seen the traffic as heavy 17 as it has become. 18 MS. WHALEN: We are getting into a specific 19 situation. It is a good idea - - where is Jack 20 Cunningham - - like, if you make a complaint, or put it 21 in writing - you are actually on the record now. 22 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: To the Police Department -23 MS. WHALEN: Yes, they will look into it, too.

MS. O'JAY:

done about it.

24

25

I'm just wondering what's going to be

MS. WHALEN: Like, speed bumps. We don't use speed bumps.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You're asking about ways to reduce the speed limit. That's what they were looking at, but we talk about in the goals and that's what I was referring to. That's what they were looking up when we talk about the goals. That's when I was referring to. We have to work within certain parameters and the minimum speed limit is 30. You will see suggested signs that say 25 on curves and things like that, but the actual speed limit is 30. That is the lowest we can go. Typically just to answer your question, along with these goals we do get calls and we transfer those to the Police Department. They have a Traffic Safety Division and they do those different parts of the Town where we get complaints. Most of the time there is a cut-through, but a lot of times we find it's your neighbors that are speeding as well.

MS. O'JAY: It's not my neighbors. We've gotten complaints with the neighbors and everything.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: If you email Colonie.org you can give us just your name in the street you live on and just kind of describe what you are seeing there. Then, we will communicate that with our Police Department.

1	21 MS. O'JAY: I know that it is not unique - my
2	street.
3	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: It's not. People cut through
4	all over.
5	MS. O'JAY: Somebody's going to get hurt.
6	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Unfortunately, we can't stop
7	them.
8	MS. O'JAY: So, the answer is: we can't do
9	anything.
10	MS. WHALEN: No, it is good that you are calling
11	it to our attention because the Police Department will
12	look into it.
13	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We enforce the best we can.
14	MS. WHALEN: It would be nice to put in speed
15	bumps, but there are reasons why in certain areas we
16	can't. I am not an authority on speed bumps.
17	MS. O'JAY: I haven't even seen speed zones -
18	limit signs, or whatever.
19	MS. WHALEN: We will try to do something.
20	MS. O'JAY: Thank you.
21	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: And you might want to look
22	through the goals, particularly in the transportation
23	area. That may be helpful to you. Thank you.
24	MS. ROMANO: Hello my name is Helen Romano and I
25	live at 979 Kings Road. My question is in regards to

PDD development.

I think that the Comp Plan should have a designated area where there is accepted to be developed. This way when a developer comes before the Planning Board and before the Town, they know where they can go and where they can't. I suggest that you use 2, 7, 9 - they have public transportation and there's lots of shopping for seniors - if you're going to have a lot of seniors and that.

That's basically all I wanted to say.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Helen, there are some goals in here that talk about that. What you're talking about actually leads to the next part of this after the Comprehensive Plan - the land-use, this refers to some of those things.

MS. ROMANO: I know it's going to have to go before the land use.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Yes, Tom.

MR. ROMANO: Good evening. My name is Tom Romano and I live at 979 Kings Road. Forgive me if this is a little redundant, but I just got the information tonight that you people provided. So, I'm just going to read you some of the questions that I had. It may already be in this report. I haven't had a chance to look at it.

First thing: I have lived on Kings Road in the Pine Bush for 46 years. What I would like the new Comp Plan to include is a map of all the areas within the Town of Colonie that are zoned as conservation overlay districts. Also, to include a description of where those areas are located in the Comp Plan narrative.

Number two: regarding conservation overlay districts - these districts support and encourage the zoning that's not always in keeping with the character of the neighborhood. After all of the constrained lands including the additional 40% green space that is required - what remains is unconstrained buildable land and should be required to maintain the surrounding neighborhood minimum lot sizes.

My neighborhood was rezoned and changed 600 acres from single-family residential to commercial in direct conflict with the 2005 Comp Plan. The Comp Plan recommended that single-family residential be required there and maybe 20 business owners the request of the rezoning versus over 200 residents that wanted to keep the zoning at single-family residential. Tweaking may be required once in a while, but rezoning 600 acres against the Comp Plan recommendation was more than tweaking. Hopefully this should be avoided with the new updated Comp Plan.

Finally, I am hoping that the updated Comp Plan will reference somewhere in the plan to indicate what is stated in the current Comp Plan and what the changes will be under the new Comp Plan. I hope that was kind of clear. It may have been a little redundant. Some of the information may be in here. I wanted on record.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Some of that is in there. You will see the 2005 goals and you'll see the progress that was made and then you will see the new goal. I know what you are talking about in that area and that goes back to the 1988 LUMAC Study, actually. Again, that goes along with the options; you're right. It goes along with the options.

Sometimes a conservation subdivision works well and sometimes large lots fit better in certain areas. That's part of the Planning Board's job to take a look at that. A lot of people probably don't remember that - the LUMAC Study and what was recommended back then. They are good things to take into consideration.

MR. ROMANO: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you.

MS. BUCKNER: Hello, I am Mary Beth Buckner. I live in Latham on Coventry Court right down the street from the new Maxwell Village.

I was very happy to hear Joe mention that they are

going to periodically be checking on milestones - I think you said quarterly?

MR. LACIVITA: We have not defined that as of yet, but we will be reporting back to the Board.

MS. BUCKNER: Who is going to be responsible for the periodic checking to assure that the agreed-upon milestones are being met and will the public be notified how the Town is doing, as far as meeting the expected milestones? I guess I just don't want to see a beautifully written plan - when it gets to the point of being beautifully written - put in a drawer and then never referred to again. I would like to see it change things.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you, Marybeth.

MR. GILIVAN: Good evening my name is John Gilivan and I'm actually a resident of the Village of Colonie, 32 Tattersall Lane. I remember when Paula came out and shook my hand the first time she ran for Supervisor for the Town.

I am a bike and pedestrian advocate. I am a retiree. I am a volunteer. I put all my efforts and time to try to make our streets safer.

Your situation is happening all over. It's just not the Town of Colonie, it's everywhere. You can see that more when you're walking or riding a bike.

Basically, I want to commend the Board and Joe on the comments that have been incorporated in today's version. I went online just yesterday and I saw the same version - maybe not.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: No, that was the one from the 21st.

MR. GILIVAN: What I read online, I liked. A lot of stuff had been incorporated and I think comments have been heard since I actually started getting involved back in the summer of 2018. So, I commend everyone for making an effort to try to go through all the comments in notes.

One thing I will comment on is rev control, rev control, the drawings or whatever you put out - you have the data on this one which is good. Prior to today, I didn't know what version I was looking at. It was a draft. You can call it the draft forever. Put rev on it and a date so that someone who is looking at it will know that this has been updated since March or since December or since 2005. So, rev control I think is just one lesson learned. I got very confused. I didn't know what I was looking at - what version.

The last thing is: There will be a letter coming directly to Paula. I am also core member of the Albany Bike Coalition. We would like for the Town of Colonie to

strongly consider establishing a bike and pedestrian advisory committee. That's for everyone. That's for mobility and care. That's for people who have to take the bus and people who have to get to work somehow don't have transportation. I really feel like that would be a really big plus because that would also get feedback from the residents from different neighborhoods who are having issues.

I got an email just this week and some guys asked me if bikes could ride on sidewalks in the Town of Colonie. The answer is no. Unless you are a child 12 years or younger, you should not be riding a bike on the sidewalk. So, there is a lot of confusion, but I think having an advisory committee for bike and pedestrians across the Town as things develop and roads are paved -- I think we can offer a lot.

Also, the Albany Bike Coalition has been working with the City of Albany. They have a Complete Streets candidate that has actually been assigned. They are part of the engineering department. I think that was one of the things that was mentioned at prior meetings — that we have somebody knowledgeable about Complete Streets. I think also an advisory committee a would bring the level of residents into more of not necessarily the plan, but at least they would know what was going on and could

directly come to the Board and to the people that need to hear it.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you.

MS. MCKANE: First of all I'd like to say that I just skimmed it. There is a lot of new stuff in here that are responsible comments. So, I just wanted to acknowledge that because I know I was pretty critical of the first document when it out.

Having said that, there a couple of things that I wanted to reference. The Executive Summary — the way it is written is not an actionable Executive Summary.

Typically an Executive Summary includes your findings and maybe it would be, moving forward, a brief summary of your immediate actions that you going to take, like the one to two-year actions you're going to take or something of that nature. There should be something in here that essentially summarizes what those key findings are.

There is some basic information about the process, but there's not really anything actionable. That's one thing that I would like to see. I thought I would mention it now.

The second thing that I wanted to ask about was -it had to do with process. I know I was really vocal
about the process at the beginning of this meeting.

What I heard from you, Paula, I think, was that the implementation table for this document would be available in approximately two weeks along with some additional review items. I guess my question is: Why are we having another public hearing in two weeks which would once again give all of the rest of us who aren't party to the inside of this process any time at all to review the complete document? Could there be a postponement - - I understand that you're trying very hard to get this modified and there is a lot of work involved. Is there any reason why that couldn't be postponed to allow the public to weeks to look at the final document before the final public hearing?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We can take a look at that,
Aimee, but when the Board feels comfortable with the
information and they are actually ready to vote, that's
when we will take a final vote. When we say we will
have a final version, that's the last portion that
we're trying to present in a consistent manner and
people are coming to the meetings for this, which is
good because we usually don't have anybody at the
meetings - not many people, maybe three or four. So, we
are trying to do that. It is something that we can
consider to not have a public hearing on the 18th and
give it two more weeks, but the Board at some point - -

we have to vote on the final. You know that. And collaborating with the consultants - we have done a ton of revisions. Many of them that I felt and many others felt were necessary.

Again, finding and gathering information sometimes is not that easy to do sometimes for people who are working on a committee or whatever - which the Advisory Committee did a very good job. It's just a lot of information. It is a big Town. It's something that we can look at. We are hoping to have that implementation table and the profile. Actually, the profile is really more something that will really contain more new information. The implementation table is actually the goals, but it's basically a reiteration of the goals and the durations and all of that. It is just presenting it in another manner in the table and some people like to read the whole thing. Some people like to just zero in on tables and charts because that's easier and better for them.

The profile is probably going to be more of the materials that we talked about - - trying to get it close as up-to-date as we can. So, it is something we can take a look at and we can see what time frame that we can get that back. We have time. We have been working from the 2005 plan all the way through. So, this is not

something we are trying to rush. It is something that we are trying to get right and have a document that is user-friendly and will provide the information and an update for the future, so the next update will be a little easier for people to put together. We will certainly look at that.

MS. MCCAINE: I would appreciate that. This is a technical question.

On page 26, Joe, it says: "continue to evaluate renewable energy, to encourage energy efficiency and conservation, reduce costs..." Unfortunately, that is my field, so the hair on the back of my neck went up.

Renewable energy is one thing. Energy efficiency and conservation is another. This sentence needs to be rewritten. It can be: Continue to evaluate renewable energy and encourage energy efficiency and conservation to reduce costs. That would work. So, I know it's nerdnic -

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Anything that can make it clear - some of us up here are nerds, as well. We understand.

There are a lot of great things that are going on in the last few years. We have been able to move forward on a lot of new energy projects that do promote efficiency and reduce costs. So, we are trying to do that and get that out there because I think we put it

out in many other formats and some people just don't catch it. We spelled it out in here as to where we are at this point. Some of these things are in the works and moving very quickly.

We are in the LED changeover process. We're in the hydroelectric process. We are just about there. Then, another big project that John Frazier, our Superintendent of the Water Department is working on is the new backup water system connection. That is in there, as well. So, that means that is being replaced with the old system from Stony Creek and it is a partnership with the City of Albany.

Did I miss anything, Joe?

MR. LACIVITA: No.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: There is a little tweaking there and we got it.

MS. MALONEY: Again, this is a little bit of the process that they were talking about.

At this point, it is in draft form and because you're asking for public input, it is a communication tool. It is a communication. It makes it difficult for us to communicate back to you - we, the public - if you don't get it out to us in a timely fashion. It seems a bit insincere when you say you want public input, but you're not allowing enough time for someone to review

what you have done so much work on. Please don't get me wrong. I am thrilled. I really am. In order to have people engage in the process and have input and be informed, you have to allow them enough time. Perhaps on your website you might explain your process just like they did when they did the original Comprehensive Planning meetings. They said: This is how it's going to go. If you said: This is how were going to do it and then you said that there was this much time for them to look at it, you didn't hand out a hard-copy and you gave them enough time to download it and interact with it themselves, you would have better feedback and a lot less angst in the meetings.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I appreciate your feedback. I have participated in all those meetings when they did that. They did a good job with it. The consultant did a good job with that and the Committee. They had one public hearing and they voted on it. So, there was an no additional comments after that. That's one of the reasons -

MS. MCCAINE: I appreciate that and I think everybody else appreciates the time that you are allowing for further input.

SUPERINTENDENT MAHAN: And we are trying to present it in a very manageable way so that people who

haven't been involved in the process can kind of walk-through with us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MCCAINE: And prioritize getting the communication back out to the people.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: As I said, that just got off the press so you're getting it before everyone else. I don't know about the rest of the Board, but I've got all the time in the world. If you want to couple extra weeks, it wouldn't bother me.

MS. WHALEN: I am worried about the process to. I am happy to see all these people here week after week. I know you're all busy, but it is nice to have additional feedback. Once it is finalized, like, even though you say a lot of these things that are already in another form are being reiterated and therefore we should all be familiar with it, I would rather - like, I'm reading it as I go along and as things are being inserted - - I certainly think that once it is finalized - the final version is expected to come when? In two weeks? That would be the final version - that we have at least another two weeks or a month that we adjourn the hearing and then allow everyone to come back once again and give their final insight. Then, we vote on it. Like, I certainly am not going to be ready to vote on this in two weeks - just when the final

inserts and amendments have been done. I'm going to want to digest it. Even though I'm reading it all along and I have attended the meetings, I want to look at it holistically and just because we are familiar with sentences that have been inserted and taken out and reinserted, doesn't mean we would be ready to vote on it just because we have seen it before and for so long. I think the final document – we should have two weeks or a month where the hearing is still open and we convene and have another final public hearing session and then be ready to vote on it.

MS. MCCAINE: The sheer amount of changes that have occurred in this document in itself - you should at least allow more time for review.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We talked about this upstairs and what we talked about was in the Agenda Session that this was just hot off the press because there was a lot that had to go into this.

What I had asked the Board was to go through this over the next two weeks and that hopefully the consultant will have the implementation table and the profile - what I'm really waiting for -

MS. MCCAINE: So, perhaps when this gets posted - that the public has this much time to review and then at the next meeting -- you need to communicate if

you're asking for public input. You need to communicate to them when they have time to review it so that they are giving you feedback - real-time feedback.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: One thing I just want to be clear on is that we are waiting for the final piece to come, but as we talked about upstairs, it doesn't necessarily mean were going to vote that evening.

MS. MCCAINE: No, my concern is that there is a lot of information that has been changed. A lot of input has been added to this. There are a lot of changes and this going to take time for even those of us who have been following this before 2005 to take a look at it and understand how it relates to and what the changes are occurring in the document. So, if you're not allowing anyone enough time you're going to have people coming up saying, wait a minute I'm looking right now and it's not getting real feedback on what you have made changes to.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I understand what you're saying and I hear you. We don't have a date for a final vote.

MR. GREEN: So, in two weeks we can come back and comment.

MS. MCCAINE: But comment on the what is up there.

It's not going to be changed -

MR. GREEN: We're going to keep having the

postponement so anything that you have, you can digest and come back and even go back to the one 2 weeks ago. There is tons of time. So, there is no limit. We want 3 4 the comments. We love the comments. In two weeks from right now you can come back and comment on that and anything else.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MCCAINE: So, in terms of the Board wanting to vote at some point, I understand completely. The normal process for that kind of thing is you say: Here's the document. You have this much time to review this and comment at this point. You can comment by writing to us, or you can comment by standing in front of a microphone.

We haven't reached that point yet. We MR. GREEN: are still bringing the pieces together.

MS. MCCAINE: Well, there is confusion as to how the process is going in terms of what is being put up and when it's being put up and it is creating a lot more angst than you need to have caused.

MR. GREEN: Well, we can clear the air right now. Once we get the final piece together, then we will set the final date when we anticipate maybe perhaps voting, but we are not there yet.

MS. MCCAINE: I'm not even talking about the vote. I am talking about - you're asking for public input and handing them a new document.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: She wants a time frame for public comment so they know when -

MR. GREEN: But there is no time-frame. It is ongoing.

I can give a concrete example. Like, MS. WHALEN: for instance the history piece of the document that was referred for public hearing from the Committee after it had - the night of the snowstorm. Like, the last meeting. They referred a document to us and now it has been in flux and it has been changing. So, if some of these folks came in to talk about like the history component, let's say, by the Town Historian, it has been removed. So, if you are preparing a piece or speech or a public comment on something that has been removed, it is an exercise in frustration. Like, these folks are involved and engaged people, but they've got things to do and not look at something that's going to be changed on them. It's like, if you study for a test and you go in and the teacher says oh, well were going to give you a test on something totally different -

MS. MCCAINE: It's the communication between - you're asking for public input and not doing it in a conducive way.

MS. WHALEN: It's like we're asking them to

comment on something and then were changing it and giving them something else. A lot of people are really great public speakers, but it's hard to get up and make public speeches and then make it on - change your entire speech or thought process because you have just been delivered something an hour ago that totally changes the game. That's why it's tough for them.

MS. JEFFERS-VONDOLLEN: I just want to say, too, that I think a lot of the things that are coming back every two weeks are based off the comments from the two weeks prior at the same time. I know what you're saying, Jennifer, but I don't think people are being handed a completely different document. We are observing a lot of the information that is coming in from all these different - the bike coalition, from Shaker Heritage, from Save - we are acknowledging all of this and trying to put it into the record and make the revisions as we are going along and then giving time to comment on it at a later date. It's not being rushed in any manner. We're not trying to change anything without anyone knowing. We are trying to bring it back to the table and give people time to comment.

MR. LACIVITA: Essentially, you were handed a document a few weeks back that came from the CPAC Committee and that was a compilation of all the

meetings. That was the first time you got to see it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. WHALEN: I think this public hearing was prematurely noticed, if the document that was referred by the Committee is still in flux. Like, if it wasn't final, really. Let's face it, it's not really finalized.

MR. LACIVITA: At some point in time, the Comprehensive Plan Committee had to deliver you a document. This Board here is the owners of that document. You have to make it yours. That's what you're doing at this point. You took the document that was provided months and months and years of work, several meetings and several community input meetings. Now it's time for the Town Board to look at this and adopted it as theirs. That is your work. That's exactly what you're doing now. You are taking it apart. You are digesting it. You are making it yours. That's why there are certain sections that are coming to you the way the Town Board wants to do it. They want to really dive into it to create the document, to listen to the comments that are coming to them and provide that comment and documentation back to you. That's why it's coming to you in segments.

If I can finish for one second.

Then you get a complete document and then at that

point in time when you have a complete document, this public hearing will still be open. There is not a defined date yet given by the Town Board where were going to adopt this, but there will be time and more than enough time to clearly digest a completed document that this Board created.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MCCAINE: I think that you and I are talking about two separate processes. One is your job and their job. The other is conducting a meeting - public meeting in a fashion that involves the public you're asking to give you input. There is a method. There is a specific outlay of how that meeting should be conducted for it to be conducive and productive. What I am saying is the part that is missing - - this is - you want a comment on. You don't hand it out the night of the meeting or during the meeting and say we want your input, but there's going to be another one in two weeks. You say: We are working on parts and you time and date those parts that are entered, as they're entered so the Historian gets you the historical part at another time and you date that so that the public getting it goes oh, that's new information. I will look at that this coming week. There is a way. This is a communication tool and there is a way to communicate.

If you don't want angst from the public, then do it

,

in the way that meetings are conducted. That is all I'm saying. I am not saying anything else about when you vote or when the document is actually final. I am saying the way that the meeting is being conducted — the information is being given back and forth, that a communication is broken. It's not working. That's all.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We understand completely what you are saying. I think most of us understand what you are saying. There are different ways to do things and sometimes you do it in portions and sometimes you do it all together. You guys had lots of comments and we thought maybe you would want to see the draft.

If I were to give the next update committee, 5 or 10 years from now, a recommendation, I would say to them no matter how many times people ask you, don't put a draft up until its final. Because you had a lot of comments, people had a lot of comments, we thought we were being helpful by putting it up there. The fact is that if it is better for you - because it doesn't matter - personally, it doesn't matter to me. I just want a good product that in the end is going to direct the Town for the future - that someone will be able to pick up and take off from there and 5 or 10 years from now be able to update it again.

The bottom line is that you guys are all here. If

it is better for you that we don't put anything up until it's the absolute final -

MS. MCCAINE: It's whatever - it's when you go - we are going to stop here and we are going to put this up for public communication. Then, we are going to add whatever they say. You are going to get a lot less communication if you articulate where you're stopping and when new things are coming in and you're dating when those new things are coming in.

MS. WHALEN: For instance, we could adjourn the public hearing on this - let's say for six weeks from now until the Town Board, Joe, takes ownership of this, okay. Clearly, there is a lot to do, still on this -- even typos and maps that are unlabeled.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That is the profile, Jennifer. That's what we are working on now.

MS. WHALEN: We could do that and then present the final product for public hearing and then have — over the course of a couple of meetings which seems logical, right? Personally, I actually like this back and forth because I felt that — I think there is more diversity here with people coming in with comments then with a lot of those meetings that the Committee — — even though it is inconvenient and maybe a lot for you all to have to keep coming in and have things changed, I do

think it's better. Like, I think the process will benefit.

MS. MCCAINE: There's a lot of angst that happens when you're not communicating.

MS. WHALEN: But when it is finalized - finally finalized, I don't know - I will vote on it until I feel like there's at least been two public hearings after the final product has been submitted for people to look at it and then we vote on it. That's what I would like to see. I don't know if I will prevail or if I have agreement from my fellow colleagues right now. I don't know. Paula said we could adjourn it for a month and have a public hearing once it's finalized. I don't know if that's a great idea.

MS. MCCAINE: The document itself, at that point posting it is saying without any comment - this is what
we're going to be talking about tonight. So, if you're
not at least commenting and putting comments on your
website saying we will be handing out a hard copy
tonight with brand new things, but we will be posting
it on such and such a date, you end up with a lot of
comment time that you don't need to spend in a meeting
like this. If you're not communicating your process as
well as this, you're ending up with a lot more angst
and I think a sense of insecurity and what is being

said because there is a level - there is a disjointedness. What you have been looking at for two weeks before this meeting isn't going to be what we're going to be talking about tonight. And you will probably have a new one up before the next meeting. It's like, why even bother commenting? Why should I waste my time coming to this meeting? That is the sense and the feeling that you're getting and I don't think that's what you want.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I guess there is just different perspectives. First of all, I don't have any angst.

Second of all, I don't feel insecure.

The thing is - just so you know - the thing is that people that were here two weeks ago - that is incorporated into this. So, if anybody had comments on what they heard a couple weeks ago, they could've brought that forward today.

MS. MCCAINE: They didn't have time to look at it.

MS. MURPHY: We just got it today, also.

MS. MCCAINE: That is what I'm saying.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You are getting confused. Two weeks ago, what was presented was a good bulk of this. That is on the website. People could've commented, but they haven't. Or, people that were at that meeting, if they had other comments, could have commented.

1 MS. WHALEN: Why don't we just adjourn the public 2 hearing for a month and then get the finalized version from us in two weeks and then the public will have two 3 4 weeks to look at it -5 MS. MCCAINE: And clarify what it is. Don't just 6 post it. 7 MS. WHALEN: - and then have the public hearing. 8 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: For this one here when they put 9 it up, I have asked them to say this is the most 10 updated draft. I asked them to do that. They haven't 11 posted it yet. I understand what you are saying because 12 otherwise it would get confusing. Being familiar with it, it's kind of easier when -13 MS. MCCAINE: It is because it is your day-to-day 14 15 job. It isn't everybody else's day-to-day job. 16 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I understand that. That's why 17 we thought by having more than one public hearing and 18 laying it out, then it would be more beneficial to 19 people. 20 MS. MCCLAINE: I think it's just the process that 21 is broken. That's all I had to say. 22 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Okay, Suzanne. Thank you. 23 MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: Hi, Susan Quine Laurilliard. I came tonight and I really didn't want to 24 25 speak, but I guess I would just like clarification for

this record.

The document that is on the website right now that says February 2019 on it that was presented to the Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee in February during the snowstorm - that document no longer exists?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You're talking about this (Indicating).

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: Right, that doesn't exist anymore. This replaced that. Is that correct?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: These are the revisions, yes. The revisions from here are in here and when this is finalized, it will have revisions in it. It will have incorporated some of the comments that people made. We have incorporated them. If we feel it works in here, then they are in there. As you referred to some of the changes — you're right. This will end up replacing —

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: So, the document that is on the website - we should not look at anymore, is that correct? What's in there is not going to be - - this is the Comprehensive Plan draft that everyone should be looking at right now dated April 4, 2019.

MS. WHALEN: This is obsolete now, right, Joe?

MR. LACIVITA: That's correct.

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: That's obsolete, so that's gone.

1 MS. WHALEN: Which I was just looking at all 2 weekend, so don't feel so bad. 3 MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: I have a question. If we had a Committee that voted on a document that is now 4 5 considered to be obsolete, I have to ask you - there 6 are a lot of people on that Committee. There was a 7 person from Senior Services, a Building Department 8 person was there were two Planning Board Members there, 9 Mr. Magguilli and another Town Attorney. Why aren't 10 those people given this document again as a Committee 11 and asked to look at this because they apparently voted 12 on the thing that is now obsolete. I don't understand 13 why this document isn't going back to them for them to look at because they all weren't present in February 14 15 when this now obsolete document was voted on. So, 16 that's my other question. 17 MR. MAGGUILLI: I don't think there's actually 18 anything obsolete in any of the document. 19 MS. WHALEN: Well, I said it was obsolete. It's 20 not obsolete, but it has been revised. 21 MR. MAGGUILLI: It's all part of the goal. 22 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The CPAC Committee - they had 23 their hearing and turned it over to us. Maybe we should send it back. 24 MS. WHALEN: 25 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We are following the procedure

we were given.

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: It sounds like you are revising the goals that they all voted on. I just have a problem with the process here.

Mr. LaCivita, will you now be attending all of these public hearings going forward at the Town Board, or is this your only night that you're going to be here?

MR. LACIVITA: It all depends on my schedule.

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: I have a question. On page 13 - and this goes to open space and I hope you can address this question.

MR. LACIVITA: Are we taking questions, Michael?

MR. MAGGUILLI: You can.

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: I think it a public hearing I'm allowed to ask questions. Your here as the Director -

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We can go back and forth politely, it is okay. It's all right

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: So, I have a question. We always referred to open space as Town owned open space. I know one of our comments was about the methodology that you are utilizing to compile open space statistics for our Town. Will you have - why did you only pick Town owned open space as your statistics here on page 13? And why are we looking at all of the open space in

the Town of Colonie and what changes have occurred since 2005? I believe the 2005 Comprehensive Plan addressed all open space throughout the Town and did not make a distinction between Town owned open space. I, for one, as a Town resident, would like to know what has occurred in our Town since the last Comprehensive Plan in 2005. That's what I would like to know. I'd like to know what was the state of the open space? How much public and private existed in 2005? And how much public and private open space exists today? That's my comment and that was part of her comment about the methodology.

So, if you could address why only Town owned open space is discussed in this draft, I would like to know that. Thank you.

MR. LACIVITA: I will respond to that. These are primarily just examples of what we have. If you look at our open space mapping which was pulled out of this document, we are actually putting into the appendices — all that detail is there. That is what Chuck Voss is working on. When we did the open space mapping from the onset — and we worked with several departments that were involved in this from a mapping perspective, we looked at easements. We looked at anything that was dedicated to the Town. We took criticisms based at the

CPAC meetings that easements are not open space, when it is. It is undevelopable land. We look at all those different things. We are calculating the method as to what to come back and put onto that plan. That's what we are going through right now. It's not going to be something that's going to happen overnight. There is a lot of detail that is in it and once we are able to calculate all the specific acreages, then we will have a plan that we will work through.

MR. GREEN: To Susan's point, we don't include privately owned lands because?

MR. LACIVITA: We don't own them. They are not open space.

MR. GREEN: Correct. That's what I wanted to hear you say.

MR. LACIVITA: Okay, I'm sorry. To get to that point, we don't own those lands, so we can include them. I don't believe it was even back in 2005, in that way. There was no detail to show that privately owned land.

MR. ROSANO: David, I'm not so sure that private landowners want their information in the document on a website. If I'm a private landowner, it's my private land and if it's open space, it is open space. I don't think I would want that posted.

MR. GREEN: I just wanted to make sure we answered the question. That's all.

MR. LACIVITA: One of the ways that we get lands, to Mr. Romano's point when he spoke earlier -

MR. MAGGUILLI: Quite frankly, we have no control over privately owned open space. I'm not quite sure I understood the question.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I think I know what Susan is talking about because we went over all of the open space last year or two years ago. We went over all of that. What's in here is the amount of open space to date that the Town owns, which is I think is 1,155 acres, approximately. I think what they described as not Town owned was the private golf courses, cemeteries and things like that. So, they were described as open space in - it must've been the 2005 plan or the LUMAC study. They made a distinction between what was Town owned and then they described what the Town did not own. They didn't go into everything that was open space. It was mainly the golf courses and the cemeteries. Can you think of anything else, Susan, that we looked at; private golf courses, cemeteries?

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: I have a couple more things. I know the work from the LUMAC study that was done in 1988 and they did look at recreational - - they

divided up the open space public, as far as recreational being golf courses, schools and things like that. What I am referring to - and I think actually that your Assessor assesses property as real estate vacant. That is public information. So, that's not a privacy issue. I can go online and Google an address and I can see what it is assessed for. Your Assessor talks about real estate vacant - all different New York State tax assessment classifications for land and you can actually on the GIS that the Town has and maintains - you can search. So, that is something that you can do. It is not a privacy issue. If someone owns 30 acres in it is assessed real estate vacant or farmland, that's available information. So, I would ask that the Town not just look at what is Town owned open space but reflect all of the open space in the Town. Someone should know that there is 38 acres here and there is 20 acres here and when you look at development patterns - which you have an hear things about wildlife corridor's - - that is important information because how are you going to assess the value of wildlife corridors if you don't even know where your not publicly owned open space is located? It doesn't make sense to have this here and just say Town owned and all of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Maybe your utility easement works because I know there are wildlife corridors that run along these utility easements in the Town that I am aware of, but that's really good information for Planning. That's kind of what this document is for - is to how you plan. You know that if the 20 acres over here in this part of the Town is now going to be a subdivision or something else, you're going to want to know that's it. There can be no parks there. There can be nothing there.

I really take issue with the fact that this is just reflecting Town owned recreational open space.

That's all I want to say for tonight. I am concerned because of the way this whole process is unfolding that if I don't voice this publicly — it is in our written comments. I don't even know if our written comments are being assessed. Again, that goes to the fact that the written comments submitted here — I don't even know — — you mentioned five tonight. Who are these written commenters? I would like to know what the Shaker Heritage Society said. I would like to know what the Pine Bush Commission said. I know I have the bike coalition's comments, but that's just because I have them. That should all be up on the website. I don't know why those aren't posted.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: They will be in the updated

profile. That's what the consultant is working on now.

MS. QUINE-LAURILLIARD: We don't know that. So, that's why I'm coming here with this angst that I don't know — this should all be here. I am glad I could get this out and hear about your methodology because that was one of our questions in our letter and I hope that all of the questions and comments received will have a response from someone. I don't know. The Town Board, I guess, is going to have to go point by point and say yes, we agree with this comment and no we don't. I would expect that in a document of this type that I know the comments are being considered. That is my point. That is the process and it needs to be followed. Thanks.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You're welcome.

MS. WEBER: My name is Susan Weber. I live off of Albany Shaker Road.

I just wanted to speak to second Susan

Laruilliard's point about the open space. If this is a

Planning document, it is impossible to plan for

something that you do not know exists. So, what we need

in here is definitely a description of the open space

lands in private hands.

On my Albany Shaker Road corridor, there are three big open space areas that we know about right now. They

1 are privately owned and anybody could find out who owns 2 them, how big they are and the taxes on them. So, it is 3 - unfortunately, Mr. Rosano, you're wrong about the 4 privacy issue. We need to be able to plan for the 5 remaining open space we have. That's all. SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We do have all that on our GIS 6 7 map in the Planning Department and our Engineering 8 Department. DPW uses that all the time. I know and it needs to be in this 9 MS. WEBER: 10 document. 11 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Well, we have looked at several 12 different samples of other areas in all the different 13 municipalities all over and this is an update. It's not a brand-new -14 15 MS. WEBER: It doesn't matter. It needs to have 16 the open space that is owned by Constantine's, that's 17 own by Mrs. Ellsworth, Foegtli Farms - it needs to have 18 that in there. We've had opposition from the very 19 beginning to this idea from Mr. Rosano and Mr. LaCivita. I would just like to say that's not 20 21 appropriate. 22 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Gloria? 23 MS. KNORR: Is there anyone else that wants to go 24 before me?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN:

Is there anyone else who wants

25

to speak?

2.4

MR. KOKENBURG: I'm Gene Kokenburg and I live at 326 Sand Creek Road in Colonie. To the point - I'd like to move to housing that is easier to maintain and I follow the advertising all the time for housing. Maybe this is in the document. I don't see it. There is no place for affordable housing for people who can't afford to move into senior apartments. You hear planning and stuff over by the water plant. My wife doesn't drive and I drive. There are a lot of people that would be in that kind of situation and I don't want to live in the middle of nowhere. I want to live by everything. I don't know if there's anything in planning for that type of situation.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you, sir.

Helen?

MS. ROMANO: My name is Helen Romano and I guess I'm going to give you a complement.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you, Helen.

MS. ROMANO: I want to commend the Town for the dedicating to the Pine Bush 157 acres. I think that speaks very highly of you and I'm very appreciative of it.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MS. PERRY-POTTS: For the record, Suzanne Perry

Potts speaking again. I just want to make some comments

about what the Romano said and I think maybe some of

these people don't realize the first of all that area

over there on the western end of Town was the very

first, I believe, industrial zoned area in the Town of

Colonie back in the 19 - mid-50s.

The Romano's, from what I understand, moved in that area in the mid-70s and I believe the property they lived at or they were close to was industrial zoned also.

In 2007 after the 2005 Comp Plan was adopted - in 2007 the Town did an entire rezoning of the entire Town of Colonie and they took away over 1,000 acres of industrial and Business E and turned it into residential, I think commercial/office. So, we just fought back to get back our property rights. Just like if a resident - if they were in an area and some kind of crazy zoning went on where they took their property rights away and said you know, if your house burns down, you can't rebuild - they would be upset too. So, needless to say these business owners were upset. They were never notified.

So, I just want to make these people realize that it's not like we said hey, here's a residential area,

let's make it industrial. It was industrial and that was the first zoning that was industrial in the Town of Colonie.

The place where I have a house and the business was a pig farmer back - I don't know - the 20's or 30's or 40's. When we first bought it, his mother had a pig farm there. Railroad Avenue - that is an industrial zoned area. There are railroad tracks there. It's a good spot to do it. Over there on the western end of Town it was all farms. It was a good place to put a blacktop plant, a trucking company - - you want that next to your house? There weren't houses there at the time when those places were built. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you, Suzanne. Just for the record, there are people who haven't been around here when that was done. That was done in 2007. It was an overhaul of the Land Use Law - the whole map of the Town was looked at and things were rezoned in a lot of different places. That was done in 2007. That was before this administration. That's why we will be looking at the Land Use Laws after the Comprehensive Plan is done. The next step is to look at the Land Use Law. I just want people to understand that was done prior to this administration.

MS. LYMAN: My name is Kelly Lyman and I live off

of Albany Shaker Road. I do have to say that I'm one of the lucky ones that lives off of Maria, so I do have a red light. I don't know how anybody can travel on Albany Shaker and the roundabout. My question is -- the nice weather is coming and The Crossings is going to be packed. I see and hear that it says there is a process of \$125,000 to install a new playground and a parking lot. Is this parking lot going to be open to the back end as well, or is Albany Shaker still going to have the brunt of all the traffic?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The parking lot you are referring to would be on the south end. There will be a new playground on that end as well because the playground in the front is so crowded. We are trying to disperse the people around so everybody has a chance to play.

As far as opening up the back entrance — when they built The Crossings, they only built it, as you know, with one way in and out. We would love to have another entrance and exit on the south end. If that could ever happen, which it would help a lot, it has to be figured out — we have gone through this with Traffic Safety and our Police Department and our engineers to see how it could be designed so that people don't use it as a cut-through from that end of the park — people coming

from that and - to have a quick route to Albany Shaker Road. So, we have to be very cautious of that because we would not want a cut-through going through the park like that. So, it is something that we have toyed with and looked at for long time. It is a difficult situation to figure out because of the fact that could really be a real dangerous situation.

MS. LYMAN: A roadway going in off of Maxwell circle - that's going to go to Aviation Road.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That road has been proposed probably since I think around the 80's. It is actually a Phase II of the Maxwell Road roundabout that the county did.

What I was told when I came on was that that Phase II was a connection to Sand Creek which about half of it is connected now. The Town works with DOT, the Department of Transportation and the Capital District Transportation Committee and a lot of these things have been recommended years ago to disperse the traffic because that's the only way that you have to relieve some of the congestion.

That Connector Road - I see what you're saying.

First of all, we don't know when that will happen. It
has been on the books forever. I know there's a project
over there that is just in the very preliminary stages.

That road may happen now or that road may not happen for years, just as it has been waiting for years. That's a totally different situation than having a cut-through that goes through a park. That's a dangerous situation.

Again, our Traffic Safety in the Police Department looked at that very, very carefully. If there was a way to design it so that it will eliminate a cut-through and would eliminate people cutting through neighborhoods there to get across or whatever -- I'm not an engineer and I don't know how to do that.

MS. LYMAN: I get it. I mean, I live off of Maria.

That's a cut-through, as well.

SUPVISOR MAHAN: Maria is a cut-through.

MS. LYMAN: It's just so frustrating. For me to take a left, traffic is all the way backed up to Osborne.

MS. WHALEN: My question is: While we can't make a cut-through, why don't we locate the playground or different attractions at the different entrances so - - if we put that playground over between the Ciccotti Center in that one parking lot that's kind of - you go by Talbot's Outlet -

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That's where it is proposed.

MS. WHALEN: That's good. At least people will come down there and park there. They are making the

parking lot bigger.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: They can park there, but they can't drive through.

MS. WHALEN: But at least the attraction will be there. When you said south, I thought maybe it was at the end by the bathrooms which would mean it is just a one-way street feeding that.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: No, they call that the south end. If you went over on that side and somebody wanted to go on the playground there, they could park on that side. They just can't drive through.

MS. LYMAN: So, the proposal for the apartments - that is in the early stages right now?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The latest update from the Planning Board is they just had a sketch plan, which is just basically showing their idea.

MS. LYMAN: I have been to all the Shaker Corridor Traffic Studies and we talk about how busy Shaker Road is and now were going to put 158-unit apartment building for that small roundabout. People are trying to cut each other off just to get to Albany Shaker Road because you have that merge.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Like I said, the Planning Board just saw a sketch plan as far as what they are proposing. That's exactly what it is. It is a proposal.

1 So, I don't know, off the top of my head, if they were 2 looking to go on Albany Shaker - - or what would the other road be? 3 MR. LACIVITA: Aviation - the Connector Road. 4 5 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I don't know that. 6 MR. CAREY: That is on the agenda Tuesday night 7 for the Planning Board. 8 MS. LYMAN: There was talk at the Albany Shaker 9 Corridor Study that the merge - something was going to 10 be done at that roundabout. 11 MR. ROSANO: You would need the Aviation Road to 12 go forward to get the fourth leg of the roundabout. If 13 you don't have Aviation Road completed - - that roundabout does not function. You know better than 14 15 anybody that doesn't function. You need all four legs 16 to be open. Then, you can literally open it up and use 17 it properly. We only have three legs open and it never 18 works. We live over there. Without Aviation Road -19 without that project being completed -- that's the 20 tie-in to make that a four leg -MS. LYMAN: Why was that one lane even there? You 21 have the left, but people come over to cut you off when 22 23 you want to go straight. FROM THE FLOOR: The recommendation from Creighton 24

Manning was that - - it will be looked at. I actually

25

1 wrote around that on my bicycle with another 2 individual. The eastbound traffic on the Maxwell circle instead of two lanes, you will have one. that is 3 4 going to help it. That's going to help the congestion. 5 MS. LYMAN: But that's not going to happen if 6 Aviation opens up. 7 FROM THE FLOOR: It's still going to be one lane 8 going in. You can check with Creighton Manning. That 9 was the recommendation because you can't have two 10 people fighting to get in that circle - one going 11 eastbound Albany Shaker and one going to Maxwell. That 12 circle is -13 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: It is tough, yes. MS. LYMAN: Especially from Margaret. 14 15 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I don't know if you noticed, 16 but that the county road. 17 MS. LYMAN: I know, we are getting the light at 18 Shaker Road -19 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We are getting the light at 20 Shaker El. The County Executive is working with us on 21 that. 22 MS. NUMRICH: My name is Barbara Numrich and I live on Old Loudon Road. 23 I just have a question. 24 25 I was so happy when they did the Comprehensive Plan and it has taken a long time. There was a delay in between when we lost the Chairperson -

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Mike Welty - yes, the Consultant.

MS. NUMRICH: And this will go to land use when it is finished?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The next step is you typically do your land use - reviewing your land use for any amendments. You do that after the Comprehensive Plan is done, so it follows.

MS. NUMRICH: Does that usually take another two years?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I know it took them two years. I think it was at least two years when they did it.

They did the Comp Plan in '05 and the land use and zoning was done in 2007. So, that took them two years.

Again, you are updating it so that means you hope - we hope that we are not reinventing the wheel - that you're not going to change the zones all over the place again. You are going to look at the areas where there have been comments, concerns over the years since 2007 that could make it better. So, that's what they would be looking for. Again, there's going to have to be consultants and engineers to help with that. I hope it doesn't take that long.

It was very encouraging when this MS. NUMRICH: whole process started. I go to many, many Planning Board meetings and where you are mixing commercial and residential - it is very frustrating. It is extremely frustrating to be sitting at the Planning Board meetings and to always hear that's the way it is zoned. They have the right to do this. That's the way it's going to be. So, going back two years and now looking at another two years, it just seems like we are not 10 getting anywhere.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Well, I think that's a matter of opinion. The fact is with the land use amendments we have made some - through the years that we have been here that were so specific and they almost looked like mistakes so you focus on those. People go as fast as they can. With the Comp Plan -

MS. NUMRICH: No, I don't want to rush through this either.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The Consultant halfway through - for a while he wasn't feeling well and then he got another job out of this area. So, we had to make that switchover. That makes it difficult for you guys and for us. That part gets frustrating, but you can't predict what's going to happen to people.

The fact is when they did the Plan - that was a

least a couple of years and they did have - - the meetings that they had were set up in different parts of the Town. We tried to mirror that, but this is an update and that was then. The thing is when they got it done, they just took a vote. They had a public hearing and took a vote and that was the end of it. Some of the things that were in the 2005 plan worked fine. Some that were in there - in my opinion the situation they were in, they should've known that they couldn't have done those things. So, you will see in this one as well - you were here a couple of weeks ago?

MS. NUMRICH: Yes, I was here a couple of weeks ago.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: So, you saw some of the things

- it wasn't established or this or that. We have been
working on these things so you will see a continuance
of most of the goals that are working well because
again you don't want to reinvent the wheel and throw
something out that's working. There are some new things
and some things have taken a step further that you add
on. It's a work in progress. It is a living document.
Tomorrow something could change. You've got so many
different facets to the entire Town. A plan happens and
if a plan goes through, that changes something. We have
all that on our GIS mapping. We have all of that so if

people have a question, they call.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as if it is owned, they can have it: it's not that simple. There are certainly so many requirements they have to follow.

I know it's hard for you guys to believe, but the planning process now is very structured. It is very strict. It is comprehensive for a reason so the end product comes out good.

As far as the zoning you're talking about with the mixed-use, the commercial and the office/residential abutting to the residences or whatever, that's what they did when they changed it in '07. I think they look at trends across the country and they follow a lot of those trends. For some places, it works. And some places it doesn't work. Every place is not the same. That's what we're trying to do in this plan - what works, let's keep it; what doesn't work, let's get rid of it and do we need to do and add to it. If something needs to be continued, we'll continue. The same thing with land use. You go through it; what's good, let's keep it; what we need to amend - - I agree with the fact that when they did the zoning where you have residential abutting commercial, office or office/residential or whatever, it makes the situation more difficult because if you live there, that has an impact on you. So, I think that is

certainly an area that we want to look at. Then, you get the PDDs in some areas. They don't bother to think because they fit in. They are not abutting against neighborhoods and things like that in other areas. Yet they have more of an impact so you have to look at that. There are ways to do it.

With buffering, you have to do a Local Law. There are ways to do that. There are different ways to do things and hopefully this plan will help to guide that.

Again, what works in some places doesn't work in others. We are unique because we have all of these commercial corridors which have always been in the Town which are major highways. They are New York State highways; Route 7, Route 2, Route 9, Route 5. Then, we have Albany Shaker Road. We've got these places where they have been commercial forever, but the Town as it grew out abutted those areas and so now people don't want buildings that are falling apart so your goal is to redevelop them so they look nice and they operate and they are efficient and they are doing what they were supposed to be doing. So, when you say it's zoned and they can do that, they have to follow the requirements.

What you can't do is take all their land away from them. You can't do that. You know that. You can't do that. Hopefully the land use process - that's a

difficult one, but hopefully that will go as fast as we can. We will get it done right. I think it just my opinion, the smartest way to do it is take a look at the areas that we hear the most comments on that are impacting the neighborhoods the most. I think you start with those harder ones and then move on from there.

MS. NUMRICH: One other thing I wanted to bring up in one other gentleman brought it up, as well. This was still based on the handout that we had two weeks ago.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Which is incorporated in the handout that you have today. That's so you don't get confused.

MS. NUMRICH: The goal: 2019 Comprehensive Plan goal to accommodate the provision of a range of housing in an appropriate location to meet the diverse needs of Colonie residents.

I just want to state that most of the senior housing that is going up — I know I can afford it as well. I think that they do need to look at that. The senior housing that they were just discussing that was going to go in where Hoffman's Playland used to be is another one that is extremely high. We have so many middle income residential areas in Colonie and I don't think any of them are senior housing right now — maybe except for the Elks or even the one at Our Lady of Hope.

·	72
1	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Ashfield.
2	MS. NUMRICH: We don't have enough middle income.
3	These are too high for a lot of the senior citizens in
4	the Town of Colonie. I think that needs to be looked
5	at.
6	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That is in here.
7	MS. NUMRICH: The Beltrone and then Ashfield?
8	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: New affordable ones are
9	Ashfield and King Thiel. You have subsidize which you
10	have to qualify for.
11	MS. NUMRICH: A lot of us are not in that area.
12	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The largest group is the
13	average group. *Then, we have a lot of expensive ones.
14	It's that larger group that you're talking about - if
15	we could have a wish list -
16	MS. NUMRICH: The Summit one by Forts Ferry - many
17	of those Forts Ferry homes are smaller homes. Many of
18	those people could not live at the Summit.
19	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I wish that the developers
20	would come with more King Thiels. We would like to see
21	that.
22	MS. NUMRICH: That's what I am hoping with our
23	plan that we will look at.

to do that because -

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We have to get the developers

24

25

1 MS. NUMRICH: Well then our Planning Board should 2 be saying this is not appropriate for the Town of Colonie. 3 I have a question for Joe. Did many 4 MS. WHALEN: people ask for an architectural review commission? That 5 would be a good idea. I would like to make that a 6 7 comment. MR. LACIVITA: We tried that, actually back in 8 9 2009 and when we were looking at that, there was 10 another layer of review that was going to be adding 11 more time to the process. We never carry that to the 12 next step because of the negative comments we were 13 getting from both the residents and the community. MS. WHALEN: Did we receive many comments for that 14 15 request for something like that in this update over the 16 last two years? I have been reviewing it. 17 MR. LACIVITA: I think there has been a couple. 18 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I know that the Save Group put 19 one in. I don't know of any others. 20 MS. WHALEN: Is that in here? Like, how many times do you have to have that comment before it resonates 21 22 enough to get in this plan, I guess, is my question. SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Jen, it's the kind of thing on 23 24 one hand you have people who want to streamline the 25 planning process more and then you have on the other

hand, if you add other layers, you are assuming that what they are presenting or whatever - the planning Board does give a lot of feedback and the TDEs and the public on how they would like things to look.

MS. WHALEN: Like, Stewart's in Manchester,

Vermont is all brick. It has trellis columns. It's

beautiful. Stewart's here is like a cookie-cutter -
it is pretty enough, but it looks the same and it kind

of trends what is in style at the moment.

I don't know. I just think we should try to have some kind of architectural review commission because even some of the colors of the buildings stick out like sore thumbs in the middle of the winter when there are no trees or leaves. Bright yellow and white is pretty, but some of those colors are very attractive in the wintertime. I don't know. I just think that maybe we should consider setting up something like that.

MR. LACIVITA: We could also handle that through our Land Use Law because each developmental district has design standards and I don't want to say they were vague in a sense, but it would say clapboard coloring and so on. If we are looking for architectural standards, that's the area to drop that in so when we do have a review, you have a little bit more than just the simple efus or whatever they may use. If they meet

the design standards, we need something to go little bit further.

MS. WHALEN: I think we are having this boom of construction. We might want to have an architectural review commission.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Yes, sir.

MR. KOKENBURG: This has just been about affordable housing and apartments and that is my concern. If it is a condo - an affordable condo because it is cheaper to own a house than it is to rent an apartment. If you sell your house four years later, you have more money. It is up to the Town Board to make sure these kinds of projects go through. You can't just say gee, they don't want to build that.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: If I can just give you a reference - I don't know what your price range is or what you're looking for, but one of the projects that has been in the works for a while has a lot of variety. That's the Canterbury Crossings and there are condos, there are town houses.

MR. KOKENBURG: Where is that located?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That is off of Route 9.

MS. JEFFERS-VONDOLLEN: Right by Guptil's.

MR. KOKENBERG: We live by Colonie Center.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I know. If you want to stay in

that area -

MR. KOKENBURG: I understand development is what it is, but there has been no talk over the years about this kind of planning and now the land is almost gone.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Is there anybody else because I know Gloria has been waiting.

It is your time.

MS. KNORR: You know you haven't seen me that much because since the last debate a couple of years ago, I was so impressed. I wish that more people would come to the debates because then you may feel like I feel that we have a great Town government here. I don't have to come. You know how much I used to come.

Paul, remember I was kind of a hostile - - I was just so upset when I saw you when they were doing the road to get to the airport. Remember? The farm and Times Union - they had five choices. When I saw you there at the meeting at South Colonie Middle School and I was just so upset.

What other thing can interrupt the farm I love so much - the sacred land? The Niagara Mohawk went through it. Jack Norton narrowed the road that we use.

I thought my grandfather owned it. He put his horses there to eat the grass to keep it clear and that was three-tenths of a mile long. The address was 230 Old

Niskayuna Road. I thought we owned it and I find out in the title search that it is an access road.

Then, of course the Thruway - - I mean the Northway that separated my uncle's pig business that's on Wolf Road that connects to Watervliet Shaker - separates his house from his barn. He got a lawyer and he could retire because he lost his business.

As a kid, loving 30 acres that was called 230 Old Niskayuna Road.

All of the sudden I miss my mother so much, I can't tell you. She died in 2007 - April 15. She went to Albany Business College. She was assertive and a very strong woman. She had two brothers and she was the oldest. She could talk to anybody - Jack Norton -- any developer and she knew what her land was worth. She saved it for her children and grandchildren to be able to build a house - just like she was able to build a house with my father on my father's family land which was 80 acres between the Hindu Temple and Venus Drive and back to Oakwood - that was an 80-acre farm. So she did the same thing. She worked so hard. We all know how hard secretaries work. We know the little pay that they get.

She was the second person in the school and the second to leave. It was the maintenance person who left.

He came before her.

She did the school census. She walked to every house in North Colonie - every house and did the school census. How I wish I had her business expertise or just living in the real world.

So, when I came to the debates a couple of years ago, Paula, I was so impressed with all of you. You know the question that I ask you every year - and sometime my question wasn't asked. So, if this year I'm going to ask a question, it won't be this one but this was always my question. What incentives can you give developers to build affordable housing so these people before me - I'm so glad it's music to my ears about affordable housing. You see now I came two weeks ago and in the new plan -- is to accommodate the provision of a range of housing types. To see that in the middle of what you have done from when I participated in 2005 - to see all the things -- as I say that this is almost in Watervliet - these affordable housing.

I have 13 great acres for affordable housing and now that I hear that Times Union is up for sale - - they are commercial/office and I don't know all the things. I certainly was against a shopping center, but did I come and say not in my backyard? Enough people did that and the only objection I had to Times Union at the time was

that it had a place - a shopping center where you bought guns.

When I was six years old my grandfather committed suicide with a gun in the slaughterhouse. I saw my mother who was a wreck - - did she cry and I wish - - my grandmother - they took care of two children, my mother - a year and a half-year-old and a three-month-old. My father died.

My grandfather died when I was six. I did not know until I was 16 that my grandmother told me the truth because he wanted to go back to the Czech Republic. My son Tim gave me money and I went to the Czech Republic and I can see why he wanted to go back. The charm of the Czech Republic - they didn't have a place I could buy coffee were my grandmother was born or a gas station, but the sweet houses.

So, this is what I'm going to tell that I was so impressed about. The people that night - and I wrote this why I didn't have to come anymore - because Linda Murphy, first of all, used to bring me home. I loved her answer about green space and keeping green. All the highway crossing in our Town and the work that has been done while you run the Board -- of course, an answer to my question about affordable housing. The reason Albany Shaker is so busy because they get off 90, they come

Albany Shaker Road to hop on the Northway. I don't want to make it less attractive. What was that statement in a newspaper? To make Albany Shaker less attractive so then people won't drive on it. No, that's not the answer. So, that was Linda's comment. So, I haven't been because I certainly like your answer.

Then, the next person - I'm so mixed up. I have been watching twins - the spirit grandchildren that are five months old that I have that have been touched by God and heaven are in my life.

The next person, David Green - when I attended that debate, I felt very, very good because I loved all of your answers. My question is always about affordable housing. Someone asked about a moratorium. Your answer was that talking about land ownership by farmers long ago and to have it by a case-by-case basis. You answered all of the residents of the Town and that's a balance. You put people over politics and how the budget process is grueling.

You're the first Republican I ever voted for. I will vote for you and Mr. Carey because my son was a Drug Enforcement Agent in Colorado - Ecuador and Brazil and Portland Oregon. I know how hard law enforcement - I know what your career is. And you may put your sign on my lawn also.

MR. CAREY: Thank you, Gloria.

MR. ROSANO: Gloria, what about me?

MS. KNORR: I have you written up because what I am saying is you saw me that day and of course when Linda was away and you see I had a ride. I did have a ride. Do you know how many people want me to buy a car? When they know Tim left me as a beneficiary of half of his life insurance policy and a Roth IRA. Do you know how people want to spend that money? For me to get a car. I say no, I will own this land until my sacred place is designed in the way that I feel would be good for it because it is an odd shape that was 30 acres. Eighteen acres is with Times Union. Then, Niagara Mohawk went through and then the Northway. This lands - it hurts my soul when I remember it as a kid of being 30 acres.

I am getting cards about 7 Saybrook Drive. They know about it. They approach me just wanting that one acre. It is the only way in to the other 12 acres. There could be other ways. The Town bought 187 Maxwell Road. I forgot how that process went. I think the Town has to okay it and what happens on those acres. So, I have accepted the reality that the way into 7 Saybrook Drive and driving into duplexes, which we definitely need, we also need -- I love my children. I need to have my own

place. I wouldn't mind an in-law apartment. I wouldn't mind that, but separate. I don't know. I do not want people to know or have my name on the list because right now I have not liked how Jack Norton dealt with my mother or me and my lawyer has talked to him. I have not liked how Times Union approached me 40 years ago.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One man was coming to my house and he was very nice. His name was Ty Richards. Whatever company he was from in Massachusetts. Then, I didn't know a second man was coming. The second man - when I saw two people come into my house, I turned on the DVD that I have of Tim and Desert Storm with no volume; just him. I was looking at him. He's another strength. My mother and my son, Tim. So, what did he say to me? I said well, I'm trying to work with veterans with the Albany Housing Coalition - I'm trying to work with them to have housing for veterans. There never should be homeless men or women. Veterans should never be homeless. So, what did he say? He gave me such a hard sell. What he said to me was: We will build your house. We will make a path out to you for Tim's Way. So, it is all about him and what he wants.

So, when I read the paper and I hear criticism of my Town government and how Paul found me and was always polite to me, always had given me advice about - - bring

a lawyer to Joe LaCivita or the Planning Department. He tells me the steps to do. I know how to obey rules. What I don't like is we have enough in our national government of division.

What I don't like reading in the Times Union - and by the way my son was a Knickerbocker carrier and I used to deliver the paper when he had soccer practice or soccer games in Schenectady, Paula, on Ardsley Road. I delivered papers. In the Zoller District I delivered 60 of them on Sunday of the Times Union.

So, I'm not ready to pay \$400 for a lawyer - for property lawyer. I'm not ready yet because our real estate agent - they want 6% or 10% and then I have too many people I don't want to sell this land to.

So, what I wanted to say to you - Paula, I want to save yours for last - I have Paul Rosano. You have no idea when I see you at Stand Down and when I see you at Honor the Vet -- I just feel you are my friend. Plus, your family has lived there longer than mine. We have on the property and we still have a piece of it and it will be 105 years in October. There's only 13 acres left.

I really wished I had my mother here. How did we know? She didn't pay her property taxes in September. Her lawn was raked. Her house was spotless. I'm just amazed. The hardest work I've ever known.

Also, when I see Paul Tonko. He was at Stand. I wasn't there last year because there was a baby shower and I have wonderful grand twins here. Also, I always felt that people didn't take care of veterans people only 1% of people are veterans - men and women and their families.

When I see you, Paul, and when I see Paul Tonko Paula when I saw you in Schenectady for Charlene Robbins
- - how do I know Charlene Robbins is a gold storm
mother is because of the wonderful ceremony at The
Crossings for veterans.

Tim has a stone. I paid \$60 and he has a stone. I love to go there. It is my place.

So, I remember Jennifer you said it must be hard for me. Oh no, it is not hard for me. My son is in every cell of my being. I have a beautiful writing about how your mother and your children cells are in you.

Not enough people come there. They don't come to the debates. They don't come to the veteran ceremony except for veterans and their families and thankful many of you do come. I do appreciate that. It is another way to honor veterans and especially from our area.

I want you to know that Tim did live on the farm.

He went to Southgate School. I had one child in the middle school and then one child at the high school. It

was my daughter in high school, even though she got great grades, who missed Schenectady, Paula, because of the socioeconomic difference between the haves and have-nots. We went back to Schenectady and my youngest child graduated. So, my daughter Suzanne was a lawyer.

Paula, this is what I wanted to say. I loved your answer that there is fair playing fields for all in the Town keeping balance and strong economic development. You said there was 100 acres saved for green space monitoring of the landfill that the Town owns and it's done by the DEC.

Then, you said it is good to have a mixed political Board. You invite residents to come. Of course, you always have a good answer for my question, but now I'm going to have to think of another question for the debate.

This is what I want to say. How you respond to my public comments at Board meetings gives me a sense of community that I am part of. I see in others what I see in myself. I realize we are all connected and all desire a greater connection. I think all of you for working so hard on my behalf and for all the 83,000 or 84,000 in our Town and I will be at the debate. I will be to all of these because I'm going to tell you how much fun I had in 2005 by being part of this whole thing and having

a voice.

My mother was still alive when I was doing this and then having a guide.

I want to know about the rules when people approach me for what they can do or not.

I always recall what Paul tells me. Get a lawyer and come to Planning. I know the door is always open. I appreciate all the time that you give when I see you out and about at the Pruyne House. I have so much fun with my grandchildren at the Pruyne House.

One time it was all the same. Which one do you go to? Do you go to the Pryune House or the Shaker Heritage or The Crossings? They are now divided.

We double the number of veterans or maybe it's five times the amount and I am glad that Kiersten Gillibrand is trying to help farmers.

On suicide - they are very, very proud people. They are independent people and whether they have no control, they work so hard.

I want to say there is a wonderful pig roast that we have at our American Legion 1610 in Albany. My neighbor said well, I don't know about a pig roast. I want to see it. I was just ready to go to the pig roast and the great salads and our Commander at the post makes great food. So, I took her there.

I have great memories when I was six and the butchering of the pigs and how they did it.

So, my grandfather was 58 when he died and I was six.

I want to help farmers. I go to the Farmers Market at the Empire State Plaza. I can't wait for the one at The Crossings to start. What do I buy? I buy mushrooms and spinach and I'm a grandparent to my son's daycare and I show them the spinach and the mushrooms. I love farmers. I love their independence and I will do anything to help a farmer.

My son Tim just gave me \$1,000. He said mom, you always wanted to see where your grandmother and grandfather came from. I see why he wanted to go back. I would like to go back, but it's very hard on those brick streets. Veterans - my heart goes to veterans.

Guess what? I was raised by a pacifist who came from the Czech Republic.

So, instead of coming here - I will do anything to support all of you for taking care of our Town. I will do anything.

Tonight I wanted to go to a church in Albany. A speaker is talking about war and oil. So, I am interested in the climate. Of course I am for my grandchildren and their children.

88 1 So, trees are nice. 2 Let us work on preventing all the wars we can. I'm a little bit leery of doing a feature article 3 with the newspaper because Channel 6 -- when Tim was in 4 5 Desert Storm and we were in our Central Parkway home and 6 my son Larry who now is a global studies teacher was 7 upstairs, he had dreams that his brother would be hung. 8 Suzanne is very outgoing. She is a lawyer now. She 9 was there. 10 That reporter from Channel 6 asked me: How would 11 you feel if your son didn't come home? So, I just said I 12 don't know if I could go on. So, I'm hesitant because my 13 son was very private. He had a dignified bearing. I do like this one person on PBS whose name is 14 15 Michael Gersten. He says: Depression is like having a 16 tumor. 17 Judy Woodruff was shocked that he had depression 18 and was ongoing. So, I wanted to learn more. I do want 19 to work more in the mental health field and luckily -20 MS. WHALEN: Excuse me, Gloria. I'm sorry to 21 interrupt, but did we close the public hearing and open 22 the public comment?

this into the Comprehensive Plan?

MS. WHALEN: I just want to know are we inserting

MS. KNORR: No, I will wrap it up.

23

24

25

1 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We haven't yet closed the 2 public hearing. 3 MS. WHALEN: Should we close the public hearing 4 and then have public comment? 5 MR. MAGGUILLI: No, we don't intend on closing the 6 public hearing tonight. 7 MS. WHALEN: Adjourn it. I mean not close it. Oh 8 my God, no we're not closing it. 9 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I'm going to make a motion to 10 keep it open until the next meeting. I think what 11 Jennifer is asking is: Is there anything else that 12 relates the Comprehensive Plan, Gloria? In January 2019 this is the Times 13 MS. KNORR: Union - buyers plan to build affordable homes - not so 14 15 much. I just want to appreciate all these other people 16 who really focused on that part. What is really hard 17 for me here -- I will come to these hearings on the 18 Comprehensive Plan. 19 What is so hard is now it's a voting time again. I 20 really cannot take the stress of that. I want us to be an exceptional Town and we are. I know that all of you 21 22 work hard and do a good job. I used to come here every month. Remember, there used to be an article: Citizen 23 Gloria, they called me. I don't have to come every 24

25

meeting.

1 So, I do appreciate all your work and I will keep 2 coming to these and it doesn't matter to me. The longer 3 the better because then we will have a good plan. I 4 thank you. 5 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you, Gloria. 6 MS. WHALEN: So, the Comprehensive Plan hearing 7 will still - we are still conducting a right now, but 8 if there's no one else, should we move to adjourn it? SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I think that's it. What we are 9 10 going to do is make a motion to keep the public hearing 11 open. Should we set a date for four weeks -12 MS. WHALEN: 13 like two meetings from now and then have the final draft in two weeks? 14 MS. JEFFERS-VONDOLLEN: I think it's good to have 15 16 the comment at every opportunity that you can get, 17 personally. If you're going to continue the public 18 hearing and you want people to comment, you need to 19 keep it open for everybody, instead of giving everybody 20 for weeks to come back and then having there be a problem, let's just keep the conversation going. 21 22 I will make a motion to adjourn the MR. GREEN: 23 public hearing to our next meeting which is April 18. 2.4 I will second that. MR. CAREY: 25 MS. WHALEN: And then there will be a working

1 draft that will be posted. The most recent draft with the most recent amendments will be posted on the 3 website hopefully by tomorrow. Then, the next set of amendments will hopefully be posted with may be more 4 5 time? Can we try to do that? We need to vote on the motion first? 6 MR. GREEN: 7 It might be out of her our SUPERVISOR MAHAN: 8 control. We have to wait until the Consultant gives it 9 back to us. For now, we can concentrate on that people 10 have this to look at and they can go on the website and we will continue in two weeks. 11 MS. GANSLE: We have a motion and a second to 12 13 adjourn the public hearing to April 18. It has been moved and seconded. 14 15 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Supervisor votes aye. Clerk, call the roll. 16 17 (The roll was called.) 18 MS. GANSLE: The ayes have it, Madam Supervisor. 19 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The motion is adopted for the 20 adjournment and we will see you in two weeks. 21 Have a safe trip home. 22 23 (Where is the above entitled proceeding was concluded at 9:10 p.m.) 24

25

CERTIFICATION

I, NANCY L. STRANG, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by me at the time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability and belief.

9 _____

10 NANCY L. STRANG

13 Dated