1 COUNTY OF ALBANY TOWN BOARD TOWN OF COLONIE *************** 3 PUBLIC COMMENT ************* 4 THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES of the above entitled matter by NANCY L. STRANG, a Shorthand Reporter commencing on 5 February 6, 2020 at 7:20 p.m. at Memorial Town Hall, 534 New Loudon Road, Latham, New York 7 BOARD MEMBERS: 9 PAULA MAHAN, SUPERVISOR LINDA MURPHY, DEPUTY SUPERVISOR 10 DAVID GREEN MELISSA JEFFERS-VONDOLLEN 11 RICK FIELD JILL PENN 12 DANIELLE FUTIA 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Michael C. Magguilli, Esq, Town Attorney Julie Gansle, Town Clerk 15 John Cunningham, Commissioner, DPW John Drake Lisa Drake 16 Jim Quirk 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Does anyone have anything for public comment?

2.0

2.4

MR. DRAKE: Good evening, supervisor Mahan and Board Members. My name is John Drake and I live at 4 Catalina Drive.

Three weeks ago my wife Lisa spoke to you regarding the 12 foot plus tall 400 to 500 foot long concrete wall that has been built as a foundation for the 18 foot tall garages at the Summit at Forts Ferry. To the best of my knowledge, the wall was never mentioned, let alone discussed at any Planning Board meeting or other public meeting.

After the last meeting, Lisa, as directed, followed up with Jack Cunningham. Mr. Cunningham sent a response back to all of us earlier this week. Mr. Cunningham's response was very clear. Everything is being built consistent with the approved plans and no more information is being provided. He said he would not answer questions that involved speculation and I agree. The request was not to speculate but to investigate and understand what happened.

Based upon Mr. Cunningham's response, the wall was part of the approved plans.

I submitted a FOIL request asking for all documents received by the Planning Department prior to

approval for the Summit project was given. There was nothing in the documents that I received that would indicate a 12-foot wall was being built as the garage foundation. Were some of the requested documents withheld from my FOIL request? If there are documents that show the wall, did the Summit team ensure that the TDE and the Director of Planning understood that a roughly 30 foot tall structure was being built along the eastern and northern border of the 100-foot buffer to single-family residential homes?

2.0

2.4

Was the Planning Board aware that the wall was part of the project plan?

If there were no documents submitted by the Summit team that showed the wall and no one within the Planning Department was aware of the wall, I believe we have a serious gap in what the Summit project team submitted and in our planning process. If people within the Planning Department were aware of the wall, I believe we have a serious ethical issue in not bringing that information forward to a public meeting with the Planning Board.

My understanding is that several of you have come over to see the construction site. I hope you would agree that the wall and the garages have a major

impact on our neighborhood and it should absolutely have been part of the public discussion with the Planning Board prior to approving the project. If you don't believe that is the case, then you should do nothing.

2.4

However, if you feel that what has happened is not right, I would ask that we use this project to help improve the planning process. I would ask that you task our new Director of Planning and Economic Development to understand how this happened and what action should be taken to prevent it from happening in the future. I know that at this point nothing is changing for the Summit project and that the potentially additional 100 feet of concrete with 10 more garages may be my new neighbor in the spring.

I hope that by studying what happened with the Summit project, an improved planning project could provide better transparency to the Planning Board and residents for future projects. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you.

Jack, did you have any clarification on any of that?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm not certain at what Mr. Drake had been looking at. The plans that I looked at do not call out a 12-foot wall. What they do show is the

difference in grade between the top and the bottom of the garage. If you do the math on that, it indicates that the wall is going to be approximately 12 feet high. So, on that plan, that's where you get that information.

2.4

Saying that no one knew about it -- I can't speak for anybody because I don't know what anybody knew or not. I think it may be kind of a representation of something that if nobody asked - we all knew about it, but it's not like we were looking for it and no one was asking about it. To say that no one brought it up, I think, is not a fair representation of that. I wasn't at the meeting so I don't know what discussions took place. I can only tell you what I found so far in that is the plan shows the grade difference which showed that the wall is there.

MR. GREEN: Jack, the document that you're referencing - you and Joe and myself - we looked at it that day - is that document available? Have the Drakes seen that?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I believe it's probably what he received. He might not know where to look to see that grade. I'm not sure.

MR. DRAKE: Again, I would be happy to sit down with you or the new Director to look at that document

and share with what I received. Where I struggle - this is literally a 400 to 500 foot long 12 foot high concrete wall that's a foundation for garages that literally is somewhere between one to 10 to 12 feet from the buffer. This is the back edge. It is along Harrowgate and Omega Terrace. These are long garages. I don't understand how any planning process - - many of you have been there - more than half a dozen Planning Board meetings on this project. I've stood here many times and we've had many discussions in the Supervisor's office on this - how anyone could think this wasn't an important part of the project, I don't understand. This is a huge structure that honestly has far more visibility impact on neighbors than the actual apartment building. Shame on me and all of us that somehow we didn't recognize it, but we didn't. If this was being discussed within the Planning Department and it was never shared in a public meeting, I think that's a problem.

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't know that was discussed -

MR. DRAKE: Then you find the transcript. I was at every single Planning Board meeting. I was there and you weren't. I promise you that if that topic had come up, we would have talked about it. If you find me a

transcript, I'll come back here and say I was wrong. We all sat there with many of our neighbors and it didn't come up, to the best of my knowledge. We took a lot of time to listen and to read transcripts and try to follow up. That's where I struggle.

2.4

MR. GREEN: If it wasn't discussed, that just leaves us in limbo. It could be and everybody missed it type of thing. It is on the map. The retaining wall and the grade on the plans - the site plan. Is it possible that this is just something that nobody discussed in the planning process? It just went through as such?

MR. DRAKE: Again, I think you may have been over in our neighborhood. I just can't understand knowing the level of detail that we went through — — one of the things that came up at the very last Planning Board meeting was adding these spruce trees — five or six feet tall spruce trees. We have had many follow-up discussions about whether they are going to grow. Now we know that they are sitting behind a structure that's 30 feet tall. It would seem to me that would be an important thing to talk about — that we put these spruce trees — — it's not just a garage which I think we just all assumed. A 6 to 8 foot tree behind the garage is a good buffer, right? That will come up a good chunk of the wall. A 6 to 8 foot tree behind a 30 foot structure

1 is not much of a buffer. That's why it would seem like -2 - it's just so big. That's the thing. This is not like a 3 single car garage that wasn't really that important. This is 37 bays that is spread out over 400 to 500 feet 4 5 - that's 30 feet off of what is now ground-level. I just can't imagine how that could not of been worth talking 7 about. That's where I struggle. MR. GREEN: I'm sure you struggle, but I'm 9 trying to bring some understanding to this. 10 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: There were many changes 11 throughout the process. I think at one time I was told 12 that the garages were on the other side. Why they moved 13 there, I don't know. I know that at one time they were on the other side. 14 15 MR. GREEN: I know that it wasn't concealed -16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: That was basically my point. I 17 don't think there was any effort to conceal any 18 information from anyone. 19 MR. DRAKE: So, the project team submitted it 20 and the Planning Board had that drawing that you guys looked at before the final Planning Board meeting. 21 22 MR. GREEN: That was in July 2018, if I 23 remember correctly. 2.4 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't recall, but it was a

25

final plan.

MR. DRAKE: That is good to hear, but then I
guess the question is why wasn't it brought up? To me,
that would be an important thing for the Planning Board
to be aware of.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Mr. Drake, there are other
people who have business before the Board. But we can
continue this at the close of the meeting?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you.

Anybody else?

2.4

MS. DRAKE: I'm Lisa Drake, 4 Catalina Drive.

I wanted to speak to Paula - your comments
- it was a thank you that you issued on January 7 at

the Planning Board meeting - thanking the outgoing

Planning and Economic Development Director. Some of

your comments that you said - that planning was at the

top of your list ever since you became Supervisor in

2008 and that you are taking and moving and thanking

the PDD for helping to move the Town in the right

direction and to get us on a level playing field.

I learned through your words that thank you that night - that the TDE's had been suggested to be brought on Board by the developers. I learned that through your words of thanking Mr. LaCivita.

There's no other place for me to go and have public comment. It's here at this Board. I can't do it

1 at planning unless I've got a project that I want to 2 speak on behalf. We have done that with the Summit. I would say the Summit is a done deal. I feel 3 it's a done deal to this entire Board. 4 5 To our newly appointed staff members - - we did just hire and swear in tonight, or do a Resolution 7 for our new PDD and I would really ask how else we can go to move forward and really not getting in the situation. 9 10 The Summit at Forts Ferry has been a hot mess 11 since day one. 12 Mrs. Murphy you just thanked a lot of the 13 people from the DPW to be here and support of this new Resolution for new building. 14 15 MS. MURPHY: They were from Pure Waters. 16 MS. DRAKE: Excuse me, Pure Waters. But you 17 thanked them to be in support and I have never heard you 18 say thank you to many, many taxpaying Town residents for 19 supporting this Summit at Forts Ferry project - never 20 once heard that. It always seems to me that the Board feels 21 22 that we are that thorn in the side. We are not. We can 23 do better. If we want to do a level playing field, 2.4 we've got the time right now. We have new staff.

Whether you want to use the Summit at Forts Ferry as

25

the review - I believe that was a hot mess.

2.4

We are going to bring somebody else on to fill a vacancy in the Planning Board. So, please let's do better.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You did say what I said - that I think Joe for working with us in making a level playing field.

I try not to bring up the past. What I meant by that was we corrected a very corrupt system to make it a level playing field for all. In other words, it was not operating the way everybody thought it was operating. I can't go into it now but a lot of what happened in that area of Target, and the area of Omega, and the area of Harrowgate and through that area — a lot of what happened there was part of what was done the past. Again, I can't keep going back.

I know we fought hard for the residents. We went to Court for the residents. We brought this to the Supreme Court for the residents to fight for the buffer that was never given, but we fought it on the intent. The Supreme Court Judge - his ruling was that there was no buffer and the only way the Town could change the buffer was through a Local Law. How do I know that they knew how to do that? Because you know where Harrowgate is, which is a couple streets behind

you. They did a Local Law for the buffer there, but they didn't do a Local Law for the buffer against Catalina. I know where Catalina is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

You guys were told that was forever wild. Some people were told that. It was never forever wild and that connection was always there. The fact is that the buffer was never put there which was the start of this whole thing. This is been going on for a long, long time. There is ownership of property next to where the buffer was and whatever was done back at the time when Target was being done. I can tell you that things were not being done correctly at that time. I know because with just a few people, we fought that for things to be done correctly. I didn't know anything about the buffers at the time. I didn't know anything about who had what and what they put in or the Local Laws. That was not the interest. The interest was to try to - if this is going to happen, then it should be done right. There were a lot of things that went wrong in that area with that project.

Right where you are, money was taken from the landfill to complete that road from bonded money. We had to pay all that back. There was so much that went on. That's only one area and one project.

So, when I thanked Joe for the work that he

did, which he has done to the best of his ability the best job that he could do because he had a lot on
his plate. We all get frustrated sometimes. I know
that.

2.4

I think the Board always tries to present themselves in a professional manner. He has taken a lot, too. A lot of the times he should not have been treated that way. The fact is I understand where you're coming from.

I said from day one that I would have preferred just to have senior housing there and all the green space. That was the best scenario that I think could have happen there. That was just my opinion. Unfortunately, with everything that occurred, the developer had a right to build what was zoned there in 2007 before we got here which was office/commercial/residential. So, he had a right to do that. My preference has always been - and I told you guys that.

I live down the road from there. I know exactly the whole area. My preference would have been to just have that senior housing with the green space.

We took rides up to Wilton in different places where they have the Summit. It looks nice like that. I think from a planning perspective, the senior

housing is less impact on traffic. Typically, they are quiet areas and seemed very compatible with that.

2.4

If anything that we can say that may be can help - out of every situation, it's where we go from there? We certainly want things to turn out to the best that they possibly can. I can tell you that those are some of the things where we do have some tools when of the comprehensive plan is done. That allows us to go to the next step with the amendments that we think need to be looked at - the laws that need to be looked at and the zoning. What are the priority areas that we can take a look at to possibly make that work better? Especially when you have that zoning that was changed from residential to office/commercial/residential. So, that's where we go from here. We have the opportunity to do that.

Sean is here tonight. Sean is the new Director. He has a lot of experience in those areas. Those are the kinds of things that we can focus on as we move forward. From what I saw, that was a very tough situation because of the way everything had been rezoned in the way property was sold then. The Local Laws were not done the way they should've been. I would feel the same way that you feel. If it was talked about at meetings that we were going to get

that buffer, I feel the same way that you do. However, at the time, the Town knew the proper way to execute that law. They knew what they needed to do. They did it a couple streets behind you on Harrowgate. They chose not to do that for whatever reason.

2.4

I have my thoughts on that, but I will keep that to myself.

At this point and what I think David is trying to say as well - where we go from here? How can we do better? We can by trying to make some amendments to those laws. I know I'm over my three minutes. We can try to do some amendments to the laws - to the zoning where it's going to make things better. That's our plan, as we move forward.

There is no question that this has been a difficult one for a number of reasons. How do we move on, as John said. How do we move from here to do better than that? I know everyone has their own opinion on development and the types of development.

Joe, when he was here - he is not here to defend himself. I know you asked about that. Joe's focus was the priority that we gave him and that was on redevelopment with underutilized vacant property. We don't want boarded up buildings. We don't want things like that. There are some things that are out

of our control and we can only do the best with those situations. Some things are results of what we were left with. It's not an excuse. It doesn't make it any better for you. We have to work within the parameters that we are given. You don't have to believe me on any of it.

2.4

I can tell you that years ago we did an extensive, extensive review of that whole thing when it was going on with Target. We were there. I was there with my husband and just a few people. Gary Rinaldi was from your neighborhood, but no one else came out. I can tell you that I brought it to great, great lengths. So, when I said - as you said I said - I thanked Joe for making a level playing field. He did work very hard doing that. We have worked very hard at making that happen because I can tell you very confidently the system was not right. I know that's an awful lot, but I can explain it. I can't just say a few words to give you an answer because it's very, very complex. I think he deserved thanks for the job that he did. I think he had a very hard job.

Although people may not like it, the fact is from looking at it from an economic development perspective, we are rated very highly for a very good process and the results are very good regarding the

kind of economy that we have here in the Town. That is related to the way things have been handled. Again, none of this makes it any easier. You don't have to agree. I'm just giving you how I can summarize it from the other side.

MS. DRAKE: I'm going to be forward-looking and not continue to look at the last 12 years or however many years it was. My only concern to this Board and to the staff that are hired – are currently on staff and are hired is that we not allow any more 12 foot or higher up to 24 foot walls to be separating neighborhoods just because a developer wants to develop a parcel of land. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you. We appreciate everything that you guys have set as well.

Anyone else?

2.4

MR. QUIRK: Good evening. My name is Jim Quirk and I live on Sylvan Avenue. I didn't plan on speaking tonight, but with what Mr. Drake said - I didn't want to bring something up that really Lisa had talked about.

I live on Sylvan Avenue and adjacent to
Sylvan Avenue is the Schuyler Meadows development
that's being built. When that project was approved and I was the one that kind of pushed for it, I asked
the Planning Board to put in a provision where there

could be no construction traffic on Sylvan Avenue.

That got passed through. The developer immediately started to disregard the rule. I interacted quite a bit with Joe LaCivita. He was great. He was very responsive and proactive. We pushed with the Planning Board again and with Joe to get a sign put down on Route 2 by Sylvan Avenue saying not a construction entrance. The developers completely ignored that, as well.

2.4

So, in my conversations with Joe and now with Mike Tengeler, who has also been great - the issue is that the developers are ignoring that because there are three lots on Vista Avenue. They are using Sylvan Avenue to get up to those lots, even though they can use Vista. They're not supposed to be on Sylvan Avenue - the construction traffic.

What I found out was there's really no enforcement mechanism that the Planning Board or the Planning Department have to rein in the developers. They can call them up and say you shouldn't do that, but there's really no way to have an effective enforcement; be it financial or administrative or whatever you might be able to do.

In talking with Joe and now Mike Tengeler and with the property being flipped over to Bob Marini,

there is talk of putting in language in the building permits for the Vista Avenue lots that say you can't put any construction traffic on Sylvan Avenue. As I understand it, the Building Department has ticketing authority so maybe that will get their attention.

2.0

2.4

The core issue from is there is no way to get in front of the Planning Board and give them feedback to say you put these rules in effect, how did you expect to enforce them? As far as I can see, the Planning Board and the Building Department don't have a way to enforce them.

MR. MAGGUILLI: This has come to my department and you're absolutely correct. One of the terms and the conditions of the approval was no construction traffic on Sylvan.

I have attempted to contact Bob Marini.

I have talked to the Police Department about this because we do have enforcement efforts that we can use. We start off trying to use the least drastic method - asked them to voluntarily comply. When that doesn't work, we can go step-by-step and issue violations including stop work orders.

I have worked with Mr. Marini in the past and he's usually pretty good about it. I hope to have this resolved by tomorrow. We agree with you. There should

be no construction traffic on Sylvan.

2.0

2.4

MR. QUIRK: Marini has not been a problem yet because he really hasn't taken over. They've been developing those three lots with the infrastructure with the ones that are over on Vista, but they haven't started to build homes on it yet.

I'm retired and I live on Sylvan Avenue. I kind of put forth these requests in front of the Planning Board. So, I kind of think that I have an obligation to be a little proactive.

I have noted to Joe LaCivita immediately -- I texted him that there were seven violations - or, 11, I'm sorry. Seven of them I had pictures and videos of the traffic. It's not my word against theirs. We've got photographic evidence that Valente disregarded the rule.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Our efforts have been somewhat delayed because of the computer problems we've been having. This is a priority and I hope to have it resolved to your satisfaction and your neighbors by tomorrow.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Can you just call my office and leave a message? The weekend is coming in as we get into Monday - if this continues, that would be the fastest way because Mike told me about this today. It

1 came to his attention. Unfortunately, we have to go 2 through the process and each step. That would be helpful if you could just give us a buzz if it continues because 3 4 that's the fastest way. 5 MR. QUIRK: I can text you pictures and videos. SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We have email now. We have 7 it back. Don't be afraid to call. MR. QUIRK: I appreciate that. Again, Bob 9 Marini hasn't started to put houses on those lots and I 10 suspect that those lots might be some of the last sold 11 because I think they are really selling out very quickly in the new neighborhood. It being in the middle of the 12 13 winter, they aren't doing any construction on those 14 lots. There's a lot of construction going on in the new 15 neighborhood. 16 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Can't they get back there 17 from Route 2? To Vista? 18 MR. OUIRK: 19 SUPERVISOR MAHAN: No, not to Vista - if they 20 go into the part of the development if they go through 21 Route 2 in the back? 22 MR. QUIRK: Yes, the construction entrance is 23 down by On The Farm. They can get back into the main 2.4 development. They can't get to the lots that are on 25 Vista.

1	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I know you mean. You go to
2	the end -
3	MR. QUIRK: Yes, there are actually three homes
4	being built there.
5	MR. MAGGUILLI: I will give you one of my cards
6	and you can contact me directly tomorrow.
7	MR. QUIRK: I think I went over the three
8	minutes so I apologize. Thank you for listening.
9	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Thank you.
10	Anybody else?
11	(There was no response.)
12	We will close the public comment period.
13	(Whereas the above entitled proceeding was
14	concluded at 7:40 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	CERTIFICATION

I, NANCY L. STRANG, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by me at the time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability and belief. Dated:_____ NANCY L. STRANG LEGAL TRANSCRIPTION 2420 TROY SCHENECTADY RD. NISKAYUNA, NY 12309