1	STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ALBANY
2	TOWN OF COLONIE
3	*********
4	AGENDA SESSION
5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
6	THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES of the above
7	entitled matter by NANCY L. STRANG, a
8	Shorthand Reporter commencing at 6:10 PM on
9	July 23, 2020 at Memorial Town Hall, 534 New
10	Loudon Road, Latham, New York
11	
12	BOARD MEMBERS:
13	PAULA A. MAHAN, SUPERVISOR
14	LINDA MURPHY, DEPUTY SUPERVISOR
15	MELISSA JEFFERS VONDOLLEN
16	DANIELLE FUTIA
17	DAVID GREEN
18	RICHARD FIELD
19	JILL PENN
20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	MICHAEL C. MAGGUILLI, ESQ., TOWN ATTORNEY
23	JULIE GANSLE, TOWN CLERK
2 4	JOHN FRAZER; SUPERINTENDENT, LATHAM WATER
25	P. CHRISTOPHER KELSEY, COMPTROLLER

1	ROSEMARY NEWTON, DIRECTOR, HUMAN RESOURCES
2	CHRISTOPHER KOSTYUN, CHIEF, EMS
3	JOHN CUNNINGHAM, COMMISSIONER, DPW
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

MR. MAGGUILLI: Okay, why don't you get started. Resolution 277 is the first of three personnel. This is appointing Helen Walsh. It is a Civil Service Resolution. She is eligible for permanent appointment. It's going to be her current Public Safety Dispatch position with the same salary of \$43,729. Her permanent status becomes effective July 27th.

1.3

2.2

Gregory Holt -- another Civil Service. He is eligible for appointment as a Public Safety Dispatcher; \$43,729 starting July 27, 2020.

Resolution 277C is appointing Matt

Merolle to replace Rob Taylor as our Building

Maintenance Tech; \$55,320 starting the 27th.

Then we have Resolution 278 which
Bailey's office will be speaking to
downstairs. This is extending municipal sewer
service to 21 Green Mountain Drrive; 435
million feet of 3-inch pipe and manhole;
\$17,872 paid entirely by the developer.

Resolution 279 is adopting or not adopting the 606 - 608 Hoffman Playland project PDD.

As you may recall at the last Town Board

meeting we approved the ODA for this. This is up for a public hearing on the planned development district itself. I am sure Donald Zee and representatives of the Hoffman's will be here tonight. You would also be making a finding that this is an unlisted SEQRA action.

1 4

2.2

Resolution 280 is adopting or not adopting Local Law amending Chapter 181 of our Town Code. This is just changing the weight limit and creating a 4-ton weight limit as set forth in the Local Law on Arrowhead Lane --

MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is the opening of the new entrance to the landfill and it is to stop truck traffic from coming out of the entrance and taking a right-hand turn and going out to Route 9. They are supposed to go back down and around. It's a residential area.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Resolution 281 is with respect to Veronica, who is the new attorney in my office. Your changing her start date of July 27th to August 3rd. That is so we can comply with the quarantine requirements. She just came back from Florida.

Resolution 282 is rescinding all prior

Resolutions with respect to the Land Use
Review fees and adding a fee. This was Sean's
idea and a real good one.

1 4

2.2

As you know, we are trying to treat all properties and homeowners equally. Just because you happen to have a house that's in a planned development district, if they wanted to add a pool or a deck, they had to go through the whole public hearing procedure to amend the Local Law. We changed that, but when we changed that we didn't add a fee to that schedule. So, this adds a \$50 fee which is similar to the fee that a homeowner in a regular subdivision would pay if they wanted to add a swimming pool, or a deck or in addition to their property.

Resolution 283 is one of those
Resolutions. The homeowner at 28 Buckingham
Lanne would like to add a 16 x 32 pool and an
8 x 12 shed to his property. So, we are
referring this to Sean for him to look at.
Sean will decide whether it is acceptable or
not and so advise the Building Department. You
do have the option to say no, we think this is
affecting enough people that they should go

the whole PDD route and be referred to the Planning Board for a public hearing and then back to us for another public hearing.

Typically, for any pool stuff, it doesn't affect anybody.

2.2

Then we have Resolutions 284 through 290 which are all the same. The only difference is they are different bargaining units. What this does is it tends to even the playing field a little bit. As you know, when everyone was out and working from home because of the Corona Virus, some of our employees had to stay at work and work full-time.

MR. GREEN: God that's horrible. they had to work?

MR. MAGGUILLI: What this does is it gives them a credit of 80 hours of personal service time if they're full-time, 40 hours of personal service time if they were part-timers as a recognition of doing essentially more than the rest of the Town employees were required to do.

Rosemary, anything you want to add to that?

MS. NEWTON: We certainly had a lot of

requests from certain members of the PDA not so much in-house as they felt they had
gone above and beyond putting themselves in a
situation where they were in contact with
people who were potentially infected and they
had an extra risk factor. They pointed out
that the PBA does not have hazard pay and
that's something they would potentially
negotiate for at some point. So, this perhaps
forestalls having to deal with that.

2.

1 4

2.2

MR. GREEN: So, how did it go from the PBA and EMS to all these other units?

MS. NEWTON: They are related. The PBA and then it goes up to the lieutenants. In the command structure, there are couple of EMS titles that are covered by the administration. EMS Captains and Assistant chiefs and the dispatcher.

MR. GREEN: So, these six Resolutions don't cover any employee other than the Police and EMS Department.

MS. NEWTON: Dispatch.

MR. GREEN: Why is dispatch in there?

MS. NEWTON: They were in the same boat and that they were in the same locality where

people were coming in and also they had to continue on their regular schedule where other people have flexibility -- people with kids at home, or working with schools at home. Some folks are working from home and you can kind of make your schedule around that, whereas our folks had to come in as scheduled.

2.

1 4

2.2

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We do have some other requests, too, for some people who had to come in and had no choice.

The whole thing is just a difficult situation. Everything is shut down. People can't take their vacations. There's just a lot going on. So, we're trying to look at it step-by-step. The main thing is this doesn't involve any money and can't involve any overtime or payment. That was critical to even look at it. There had to be no money involved.

MS. NEWTON: And they can use it toward their benefits or anything else.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The department heads and managers and supervisors will have to make sure that everything is monitored and recorded so that doesn't happen. I was one of

the reasons for spreading it out beyond this year because we don't want everybody out at the same time and everybody is crawling up the walls at this point. We are trying to be able to keep it balanced so everybody's not out at the same time. That's one of the things we are trying to avoid.

1 4

2.2

MS. MURPHY: So, what happens if everybody gets their time and wants to use it between Christmas and New Year's and there's too many people out?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The person that runs the department has to be able to manage that. For example, the agreement is that it's got to fit in some way. How they do that - whether they do it by seniority or whatever, they have a lot of different things in the Police Department and the PBA agreement and all of that. It has to be managed and managed properly. That's part of it.

MS. MURPHY: How do we manage to do that?

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: It's their job to do that and there is a recording mechanism as far as when people are out.

1 2

4

5

6

3

7

9

8

11 12

10

1 4 15

13

17

16

18 19

20

21

2.2

23 24

25

MS. MURPHY: I think Dave and I both thought that was involving people who were actually out with the public perhaps like the EMS who may have been dealing with people with Covid by risk or dealing with them and not people who were going to the Water Department and during their regular job -

MR. FRAZER: The Water Department is not included.

> MS. MURPHY: But any department.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You have people that are coming back into these buildings using anything that was there, copiers and things like that for a while and we had no idea what we were supposed to do - what was supposed to be cleaned and all of that kind of stuff. At that point the fear was to try to stop the spread because if it spread - if everybody was here and everything spread throughout the department, we're up the creek without a paddle because of the nature of the way it spreads.

We have a lot of things in place now between all of the sanitary measures, the Plexiglas and everything that Mike Franze has put into place. We had a couple of occasions that for everybody's safety we had to bring in a company that disinfects everything. They do the whole thing. We had to make that decision because you can't afford - - if somebody gets infected, you have to stop that chain going. It's a very difficult situation. Nobody really has any direction.

2.2

MS. MURPHY: It's never really happened in our country before and nobody really knows how to deal with it. There will always be people that say well, she can stay home and get her paycheck and work from home but I have to go to work every day. There is probably a certain amount of - I'm uneasy that I have to go to work every day and other people get to stay home. So, they don't feel like they're getting treated equally.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: That was the nature of jobs that dictate that. That was part of trying to be sensitive to everybody and be as fair as you can possibly be under certain circumstances. Some people were needed to come in for half a day and do something because it had to be done and nobody else

could do it. What we went through was to try to reduce the density of people in the building - in any of the buildings so that we could try to stay away from each other and contact and spreading and all of that. In some places like the whole Public Safety Building - certain places in Town where people had to be there. We don't have the technology to say - well, Chris's department was in consistently because who's going to pay the bills? Who was going to pay - who's going to do the checks? Who was going to take care of that?

MS. MURPHY: So, who's being compensated?

1 4

2.2

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: At this point, anyone who may have fallen into a category to look at, we will look at. It's just very difficult. The unions have come to us. It's all union-driven.

MR. GREEN: So, 284 is self-explanatory because it's all EMS and 285 is self-explanatory - it is the Chief - Deputy Chiefs. Then, 286 is all Police, again; 287 is again Police.

1 When we get to 288 - who is included in 2 CSEA Unit d? 3 MR. KELSEY: That's the dispatcher. MR. GREEN: So, how many Town employees 4 5 would be covered under Unit D? 6 MS. NEWTON: There are some that would 7 not qualify right now so we've got about 23 8 or so. 9 MR. GREEN: We have 23 dispatchers. 10 MS. NEWTON: We have a couple more. One 11 was out and we were down a couple. 12 MR. GREEN: So, unit D is only 13 dispatchers, or are there other people? 1 4 MS. NEWTON: No, they are just 15 dispatchers. SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You have to figure 24 16 17 hours a day and seven days a week. 18 MR. GREEN: Okay. So, 289 - who is 19 actually the administrative unit? 20 MS. NEWTON: There is a large variety 21 type in there. It only applies to three 2.2 titles; the EMS Assistant Chiefs, the EMS 23 Captains and the Public Safety Communications 24 Supervisor who is the head of the 25 dispatchers.

MR. GREEN: All of these memorandums were written for the same allotment of time awarded to everybody?

1 4

2.2

 $\mbox{MS. NEWTON:} \mbox{ The only exception was the} \\ \mbox{EMS -} \mbox{}$

MR. KOSTYUN: We had several part-timers that worked full-time hour shifts and basically we divided the number of hours by the number of FTD's and anybody who worked - whatever the full-time employment was who worked 80 and anybody who worked contract works 40.

MS. NEWTON: It's approximately 10 people.

MR. GREEN: I thought this is a lot more encompassing. Without the memorandum in here, I would not have known that. My reaction was one of - really? Now, I understand. This is discussed during other meetings that we needed to recognize the inherent risks that our first responders took. I think this gets it done and I'm okay.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Next is awarding a contract under the Robert P Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency assistance Act - a

federal program. Through this program the Town conducted a GSA E-quote. 10 vendors were eligible. Under this, we are purchasing 16 Zoll X-Series manual monitor defibrillators and trading in 15 life pack monitors and the like.

1 4

2.2

Chris, did you want to add to that?

MR. KOSTYUN: If you have any questions,

I would be happy to address them. Other than
the people on the ambulances, this is pretty
much the most significant piece of lifesaving
equipment that we have. They are a year past
their projected lifespan, but we have been
working with capital projects and the Budget
Committee to get this pulled off between this
year and next year. It's overdue. It actually
takes the medicine significantly forward and
makes sure that we are good to provide great
medicine for the next 10 years.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Resolution 291 is amending Resolution 187. There has been a couple of changes with respect to the two ambulances that were authorized to be purchased. We are adding option one and removing option three. The total cost of the

ambulances will now be \$347,753. This has to do with the cots and the like.

1 4

2.2

Chris, anything you want to add to that?

MR. KOSTYUN: So, we have our oldest

ambulance which is a 2011 that does bariatric

transports. It's for people that weigh more

than 450 pounds. They are kind of high risk

transports and that has a known engine

problem. We are kind of living on borrowed

time. So, what this Resolution does is avoids

trading in one of our ambulances that was in

the rotation - turning that into a bariatric

unit to eliminate the potential engine

catastrophe.

We are also in the running for a federal grant for about \$400,000 to add a patient lifting system. Right now, our current system hooks the stretcher to the ambulance, hooks into the ambulance and then two crew members stand in the back and share the load.

If we are successful in this grant, all of those will be replaced by - you reel it up, push a button and the mount actually lifts the patient into the ambulance.

MS. MURPHY: That must be pretty trying

to lift some of those people.

1.3

1 4

2.2

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: It could lead to a lot of injuries, too.

MR. KOSTYUN: I can tell you the call that I ruined my back on.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Resolution 292 - the Assessor's office uses Image Mate software. This is a support agreement with Systems Development Group Inc. for July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021 to assist us in the use of that software. The cost is not to exceed \$3,000.

Resolution 293 is reimbursing \$10,613.94 to Jimmy Lyman for water usage.

Resolution 294 is authorizing Doug to advertise for bids for a 20-inch butterfly valve, two 14-inch butterfly valves and actuators for the Mohawk View Water Treatment Plant.

Resolution 295 is additional curb replacement work on British American Boulevard. This is a change order. We have the documentation to prove it is a change order. Originally, we didn't believe this was needed. It is an additional cost of \$37,200.

Jack, anything you want to add to that?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: No.

1.3

1 4

2.2

MR. MAGGUILLI: Resolution 296 is awarding the sole bid to federal Resources Supply Company. This is for the doorframe and breaching door at the Police Department. The amount is \$5,935.

Resolution 297 is rejecting the bid that we received from Premium Production Inc. and rebidding the contract to try to get a better price.

Resolution 298 is renewing the contract for a certified playground mulch at the same pricing with the contract that expires March 31, 2021. This is with the Gallivan people.

Resolution 299 is awarding the bid to S and L Roofing and Sheet Metal and this is for - they were the low bidder - \$379,000 This is for the roof replacement at the library.

Resolution 300 is an amendment to the service award program for our volunteer firemen. If the Town Board buys into this, it warrants an additional five points per month to active volunteer fireman during the Covid pandemic.

Resolution 301- we have been receiving a

great number of calls on this panhandling from residents in the Town who were quite concerned. On the scale of public complaints I would say this is about an eight.

1 4

2.2

that I am trying to do is write a law that meets constitutional muster. I have gotten the language from this from looking at various other statutes throughout the State of New York, primarily in some of the other states as well. I've done some research and oddly enough, it kind of surprised me. It's not determined yet in New York State whether panhandling is protected speech. There was a 1990 decision - Second Circuit decision that left that question open - whether panhandling was, in fact, protected speech under the Federal Constitution. It probably is the New York State Constitution.

There are a couple of other statutes like this that were shot down. I think one was in Rochester. They didn't shoot down the whole statute. They shot down the part that said it was illegal to just stand there on the sidewalk with the sign and not do anything aggressive.

I tried to address that because we don't have that many sidewalks in Colonie. from what we see on Everett Road, they are standing in the median and it is inherently unsafe, I think. We try to address the constitutional issue and we may tweak it a little more between now and then as we continue to work on it.

1 4

2.2

MR. GREEN: Michael, when are exactly the complaints that we're getting that this is intending to address? That's part of my question.

Part B is going to be: Don't we already have laws on the books they can address it sufficiently without amending it?

MR. MAGGUILLI: No. We didn't have anything on panhandling. Most of the other municipalities have these panhandling statutes. The Town of Colonie does not.

What some people are saying is that you can address this kind of thing with disorderly conduct statutes and the like. That may be true, but I think this lets us address the problem better.

With disorderly conduct, there is a

certain set of facts and circumstances that have to be found before they can be charged with disorderly conduct. What they are doing may not rise to that level. Some of these people are actually approaching cars and when people refuse to give them the money, demand and they change their mind cough up some bucks. Some of these guys parked in the front of ATM machines.

1 4

2.2

I don't know about you, but that would bother me. I wouldn't want to use an ATM machine when I saw some guy 4 feet away standing there with a sign saying help me, I'm homeless. Who knows if you've got your back turnedto him, what is going to do. So, hopefully - I thought this would help send a message.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: We've had several elderly women call the Police Department and say they bang on their windows. They come to a stop light and they're afraid they're going to hit them with the car.

We have had them where people have tried to give them food and they just throw it down. They just want money. Little kids are scared.

Some of the people in the Town through the years - and you kind of get the ones who are truly homeless and are truly in need. They don't necessarily stand on the corner, but our people tried to help them and try to get them help through certain services that are out there. A lot of times they are repeat individuals and it gets very difficult, but they have a good handle on who they are.

2.2

Also, Craig Apple has a program which is to give them a place to stay, but also to try to work with them to get them back on their feet.

As you guys know, for a while we supported the Soldier On Program which is homeless veterans and tried really hard with that group that runs that. They couldn't raise enough money to do that. Maybe they will sometime in the future.

We visited the home in Pittsfield which was going very well and it was actually a transitional program that gets them to the point where they're back on their feet and when they get jobs in any of the homes that are on the complex, they can only be sold to

another veteran. They work their way into it.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Dave, they're popping up all over. They're at the Walmart now.

1.3

2.2

MR. GREEN: The issue with this is a lot of this sounds like the statute of harassment could be incorporated, which is a violation. This is a violation. I don't know what more we are accomplishing by doing it.

Furthermore, the proof aspect of this is going to be very difficult because unless some Officers are there witnessing it, how are we going to police this? I would like to see what Chief Teale and Apple have to say.

MS. MURPHY: More recently, people coming off of the Northway onto Wolf Road - if the light is green when they come off the Northway, they are flying through there.

There are people standing there looking for donation sand someone is going to get hurt.

MR. MAGGUILLI: In talking with the cops, they do witness it. When they get complaints, they ask the person that calls in whether they are willing to sign a complaint. Sometimes the person is willing to do it and sometimes they're not.

Again, as far as the police are concerned, this gives them another tool in the toolbox. They think - and I believe they are absolutely correct - that we've got to nip this in the bud now before it spreads all over the Town. People in Colonie are generous. The more they give, the more likely they are going to have people in other places.

2.2

MR. FIELD: The Chief said that one woman was picked up three times in one day and told the Officer there's nothing you can do to me.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: I've seen one that was on crutches over by the traffic circle - by the mall. I can see from my car the cop car was coming and the crutches just went out and he ran right across the street. He took off like lightning.

MR. MAGGUILLI: There was a family doing it the other day in front of Walmart. A guy - I assume it was a family. A guy, a woman and three little kids. They had them all out there with signs.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Somebody's going to get hit by a car.

MS. MURPHY: It would be easier to get a job.

1 4

2.2

MR. MAGGUILLI: Have you watched John Stossel- the guy that did the videos on 2020? He did one about panhandling and they followed this woman who looked like she was down and out and on her last leg. After she was done panhandling, they followed her. She gets into a late model car and they followed her to this nice suburban house. She goes in and then comes out like 15 minutes later. She was a soccer mom, essentially. They approached her and she said hey look, I make 400 or \$500 a day tax-free. Where else am I going to do that? My kids are in school and I went out panhandling.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Unfortunately, they don't want services. They just want money.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: I just have two quick points on this. One was that I have been researching this nonstop for the last 48 hours just because I've been getting so many messages about it. I did find out - I'm sure Mike is aware - that it is also an ordinance or Local Law in other places in New York

State. I think off the top of my head the Town of Grand Islands, City of Buffalo, two villages in Long Island, the City of Rochester - there are other places and this is not like this is a novel idea. Something that I wanted to make sure everyone knew.

2.

1 4

2.2

The other thing was, Mike, I don't know if you got my email today.

MR. MAGGUILLI: We've been going crazy today with Executive Orders.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: I just wanted to ask whether or not - because I think it's really well drafted and I think the aggressive behavior definition is very clear. In the section for the conduct where we list the different things that are prohibited, F where it says no person on the sidewalk or alongside a roadway shall solicit from any occupant of a motor vehicle that is on a street or other public place or parking area -

MR. MAGGUILLI: That under G?

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: It's on sections
- - I have it on F here. Should we have the
language saying aggressively solicit because

I'm just concerned that someone would construe that as being - we define solicit as spoken, written or printed word. I'm afraid if someone is passively panhandling that could be looked at as prohibiting free speech in that sense.

MR. MAGGUILLI: You mean it may be looked at as protected speech.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: Yes

1 4

2.2

MR. MAGGUILLI: Okay. I think one thing I'm going to have to change is on the sidewalk. I think that might run afoul of the First Amendment. A sidewalk is typically a place that is considered a public forum etcetera. What I intended to do was - not on the sidewalk, but next to the sidewalk.

Standing on the roadside - - one thing that Colonie has very few of is sidewalks. I think that we can support - - like someone on Everett Road standing in the median by the guard rail where the road is very uneven - - that's why I changed from just aggressive panhandling to aggressive and unsafe panhandling.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: Right, and I

just wanted to see if you thought it would be a good idea to keep the language like Paula said, consistent and that prohibited conduct section. That way it doesn't look like we are going from - here is what we define as aggressive, but then if someone reads that -

2.2

MR. MAGGUILLI: That's a real good idea.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: I'm afraid somebody might sue over - well, that just reads like you're standing there and that's passive panhandling and that supposed to be permitted.

MR. MAGGUILLI: This is still a work in progress. All we are doing tonight is calling a public hearing. I do realize that I'm going to have to tweak some more.

MR. GREEN: You should announce that all this for public hearing - - you should make that announcement, so that it might safeguard some onerous public comment.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: That is some of the feedback I have received personally so far. While I am obviously very much in favor of this and I think it needs to be done, I just wanted to make sure the language was

safe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. MAGGUILLI: The guy from the social justice center - he tried to make it sound like these laws are knocked out in their entirety and they are not. The only parts that have been held unconstitutional is when the person is just standing there unobtrusively and quietly holding up a sign in a safe place and on the sidewalk. That, we can't mess with. However, it's unclear whether he takes two steps off the sidewalk and he's in the road, does he lose the protected speech? Under the Federal Law, we're not even sure panhandlingh is protected speech. So, don't let somebody come up and tell you this is the first amendment violations, because it's not.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: No, especially when you have the aggressive language in there - -the ACLU lawsuits that have been out there are against the passive panhandling.

It's very clear. This is very different and I just want to make sure our language is consistent.

MR. MAGGUILLI: If you look at the

Rochester case, Michael Green was the DA in Rochester. He is the District Attorney like our David Soares. I helped Mike get into Albany Law School.

2.2

He had called me back when their cases were being litigated and wanted to see if I wanted to be hired and represent him. I wouldn't do it. I didn't want to do it. It's not because I thought he had a lousy case, but I didn't want to go to Rochester.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: The other side of this, too, is that to keep them off the streets and the dangerous locations — it helps to keep them safe.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: Absolutely.

MS. MURPHY: It depends on who is doing it. Sometimes at the Northway Mall they just stand and have their sign and hope someone will stop and roll the window down and give them some money. Sometimes there are people that when the light is red, move from car to car to car down the road and there standing right next to your car.

MR. MAGGUILLI: I'm already getting called a bigot for this.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: So am I.

1 4

2.2

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: Even Sheriff Apple is giving advice publicly - don't give them money.

MS. MURPHY: You feel bad for them.

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: You don't know who these people are. The thing is that you don't want them to get hurt, but by the same token it's not fair and it's not right to intimidate people who are nervous to go places because they're afraid they're going to get into their car or do something to them.

MS. FUTIA: So, for example, when she said they go from car to car - are they protected, or that would be considered -

MR. MAGGUILLI: That would be considered aggressive and illegal.

The other thing that I added that no other law had was I added the Covid 19 because the Covid 19 throws something into the mix, as well. This is the only panhandling law that has something like that because you've got an Executive Order that says you have to stay five feet away from people. Just because your

panhandling, doesn't exempt you from that five or six-foot requirement.

1 4

2.2

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: I feel like the part that you were just talking about is probably covered under G and aggressive behavior.

MR. MAGGUILLI: I wrote G a little bit differently. It's not your typical standing on the sidewalk statute. I don't know if it will pass muster or not.

MR. FIELD: Maybe we should charge a permit fee.

MR. MAGGUILLI: I will tell you with our Hotel/Motel Local Law - they told me that law would never stand scrutiny and that it would be overturned in court. That never got overturned. It's still a good law today.

MR. GREEN: It probably would be difficult to do, but have you ever thought about identifying where you can peacefully manhandle versus taking the time to say where you can't panhandle? Have you ever looked at it from that angle?

MR. MAGGUILLI: Yes.

MR. GREEN: So, you could peacefully

panhandle on any sidewalk. Anything other than that -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I would think that it MR. MAGGUILLI: would be on the sidewalk - any public place that is open to the public. If you're standing in the middle of the park with a sign and you're not going after people but you're just standing there saying please help, that could probably be done. What we are looking to stop are these people that are in the middle-of-the-road going from car to car and parking garages at night where they will approach somebody and still give you money just hoping that leave them alone and go away. That's the theme that runs through this, if you look at it. In situations where people are alone or separated -

MS. MURPHY: It's is also senior citizens driving in their car by themselves-that's a little scary.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: It happened to me - not in Colonie, but I was walking to my car, it was dark and I was followed and I was called a very not nice word because I was told I drive a nice car and I can't spare a

dollar for someone else. So, it was very uncomfortable at that point because I was followed three blocks to my car in the dark. I called someone, obviously, because I was scared. I can imagine being someone that's elderly or female - - there are a lot of different types of people who are uncomfortable with this.

2.

1 4

2.2

SUPERVISOR MAHAN: It's a situation that could go bad really fast.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: Absolutely.

MS. MURPHY: We just need to make sure that we cover all the bases.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: There are tons of services available. It's not to say that the Town doesn't care about homeless people. It's just a faceted approach.

MR. MAGGUILLI: In this day and age there's no reason for anybody to be standing on a street corner asking for money. This seems to be more and more people and more and more locations.

MR. GREEN: Do you really think that it's can have any impact?

MR. MAGGUILLI: I think that it will.

MR. GREEN: Get an appearance ticket and they won't go to court and you can bring them in.

1 4

2.2

MR. MAGGUILLI: If the Police and the courts go along with this, the Police are behind it 100%. I think it can have an effect. Nobody wants to have to go to court. Eventually this stuff is going to catch up with them.

MR. GREEN: If there truly homeless - - I watched 20/20 years ago and some of these people make more money then a lot of people on this earth.

MR. MAGGUILLI: Dave, if we do nothing, then we conceded the issue to them already.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: My fear is that if you do nothing and you're giving people money, you don't know where that money is going - what are you contributing to?

We have a very, very serious heroin epidemic on the streets as well. You don't know where that money is going.

MR. MAGGUILLI: If we do nothing, it's just like opening up our streets -

MR. GREEN: Can't we try to prosecute

these cases under the harassment law? Every one of these situations - it's the true definition of harassment.

2.

1 4

2.2

MR. MAGGUILLI: I disagree. I think there are some similarities to the harassment law, but there are some things that are unique to this.

MR. GREEN: Stepping off the curb side, for example. You don't want to put a driver in a situation where they have that reaction. It may or may not come, but by why chance it?

MR. MAGGUILLI: Okay. Moving on Resolution 302 is extending the furloughs to
certain Town job titles and returning some
people to work. It's all set forth in Exhibit
A.

MS. FUTIA: Are the department heads the ones who came up with - that furlough being extended?

MR. KELSEY: We spoke with every department head as to what position is furloughed and what staffing could be extended without detriment to the department.

MS. FUTIA: So, the department heads are basically the ones who decided that.

1 MR. KELSEY: Yes.

1.3

1 4

2.2

MR. MAGGUILLI: Resolution 303 is authorizing Paula to enter into an agreement with Fifth Avenue Forensics.

We should probably go into Executive

Session to discuss this. I will just say that at this time this has to do with a Police

Department personnel matter and they would like to do an examination and the cost of the examination as part of the litigation is pending of \$3,500. If you would like more information, I think we really should go into Executive Session.

MR. GREEN: I will make a motion to go into Executive Session to discuss the two reasons that Michael said potential litigation, if not already pending litigation as well as personnel.

MS. JEFFERS VONDOLLEN: I will second.

MR. MAGGUILLI: In Executive Session I would ask that Rosemary stay, that I stay, Julie and the stenographer.

MS. GANSLE: All in favor?

(Ayes were recited.)

Any opposed?

```
(There were none opposed.)
 1
                (Whereas the above entitled proceeding
 2
 3
         was concluded at 6:45 PM)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I, NANCY L. STRANG, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFIES that the record taken by me at the time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability and belief. Date:_____ Nancy L. Strang Legal Transcription 2420 Troy Schenectady Road Niskayuna, NY 12309 1 8